To: Committee Members                Date: January 6, 2021

From: Rosanne Helms                Telephone (916) 574-7897
     Legislative Manager

Subject: Review of the Board’s Current Telehealth Regulations

Summary
The Committee may wish to discuss whether any provisions of the Board’s telehealth regulations, first established in 2016, should be updated.

History
Prior to 2016, the Board’s law offered very little guidance about telehealth, other than providing a definition and some basic requirements for patient consent and confidentiality in Business and Professions Code (BPC) §2290.5.

The lack of guidance was causing increased confusion among licensees and registrants as telehealth became more prevalent. To address this, the Board proposed its first telehealth regulations. These regulations, which became effective on July 1, 2016, were developed after extensive review and research on the topic, as well as discussion with stakeholders, over several Board and committee meetings.

The practice of psychotherapy via telehealth continues to evolve, and the Board has received feedback that one particular area of its telehealth regulations is causing confusion and may need further discussion.

Attachment A shows the Board’s current telehealth regulations (California Code of Regulations Title 16, §1815.5).

Attachment B shows current statute related to telehealth (BPC §2290.5).

Attachment C is a letter from the California Association of Marriage and Family Therapists (CAMFT) describing their concern with §1815.5(f) and (e) of the current telehealth regulations.
**Discussion of Regulation §1815.5(f) and (e)**

Regulation §1815.5(e) states that a California licensee or registrant may only provide telehealth to a client in another jurisdiction if he or she meets the requirements to lawfully provide services in that jurisdiction, and if telehealth is allowed by that jurisdiction.

This subsection was added because often, Board licensees and registrants are unaware that it is common for jurisdictions to require a license to practice with a patient located there. To avoid opening themselves up to liability, therapists need to check to make sure they are following that jurisdiction’s laws before practicing there. Otherwise, that jurisdiction could decide to take disciplinary action if there were a violation. If the jurisdiction decided to take such an action for a violation of their law, it would be within their authority to do so because the violation occurred in their jurisdiction.

Regulation §1815.5(f) states that failure to comply with any provisions of the Board’s telehealth regulations is unprofessional conduct.

CAMFT has raised a concern that making it unprofessional conduct if a therapist fails to check to make sure he or she is following the laws of the jurisdiction where the client is located is too rigid and could lead to unintended consequences. For example, it does not necessarily account for a patient who is traveling, a patient who is transitioning to a new therapist, or a patient in crisis. They suggest that the location of 1815.5(e) be moved to after 1815.5(f) so that it functions as guidance, rather than a requirement that one must follow to avoid discipline.

Whether another jurisdiction decides to take disciplinary action based on a complaint they receive when a therapist is practicing with a patient in their jurisdiction who is traveling, transitioning, or in crisis is at their discretion and outside of the authority of this Board. However, the Board may wish to discuss whether it should require its licensees to check with the other jurisdiction in which they are practicing or whether that should simply be suggested as guidance.

**Telehealth Guidance**

A significant amount of guidance for telehealth practice has been developed by various organizations since the Board adopted its initial telehealth regulations. Some examples are as follows:

- **Reference D** provides the Center for Connected Health Policy’s website that discusses cross-state licensing. This page provides a general overview of current state efforts.

- The Association of Marital and Family Therapy Regulatory Boards released teletherapy guidelines (**Reference E**) in 2016.
The American Counseling Association (ACA) and National Association of Social Workers (NASW) have resources related to telehealth on their websites (Reference F and Reference G, respectively).

Recommendation
Conduct an open discussion regarding regulation section 1815.5(e), (f), and any other provisions of §1815.5 that the Committee believes may require amendments.

Attachments/References
Attachment A: Board Regulations: 16 CCR §1815.5: Standards of Practice for Telehealth
Attachment B: Statute Relating to Telehealth: BPC §2290.5
Attachment C: CAMFT Letter, January 11, 2018
Attachment D: Center for Connected Health Policy: Cross-State Licensure
Attachment E: Association of Marital and Family Therapy Regulatory Boards (AMFTRB) Teletherapy Guidelines (September 2016)
Attachment F: American Counseling Association - Telebehavioral Health Information
Attachment G: National Association of Social Workers – Telemental Health: Legal Considerations for Social Workers
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§ 1815.5. STANDARDS OF PRACTICE FOR TELEHEALTH.

(a) All persons engaging in the practice of marriage and family therapy, educational psychology, clinical social work, or professional clinical counseling via telehealth, as defined in Section 2290.5 of the Code, with a client who is physically located in this State must have a valid and current license or registration issued by the Board.

(b) All psychotherapy services offered by board licensees and registrants via telehealth fall within the jurisdiction of the board just as traditional face-to-face services do. Therefore, all psychotherapy services offered via telehealth are subject to the board’s statutes and regulations.

(c) Upon initiation of telehealth services, a licensee or registrant shall do the following:

(1) Obtain informed consent from the client consistent with Section 2290.5 of the Code.

(2) Inform the client of the potential risks and limitations of receiving treatment via telehealth.

(3) Provide the client with his or her license or registration number and the type of license or registration.

(4) Document reasonable efforts made to ascertain the contact information of relevant resources, including emergency services, in the patient’s geographic area.

(d) Each time a licensee or registrant provides services via telehealth, he or she shall do the following:

(1) Verbally obtain from the client and document the client’s full name and address of present location, at the beginning of each telehealth session.

(2) Assess whether the client is appropriate for telehealth, including, but not limited to, consideration of the client’s psychosocial situation.

(3) Utilize industry best practices for telehealth to ensure both client confidentiality and the security of the communication medium.

(e) A licensee or registrant of this state may provide telehealth services to clients located in another jurisdiction only if the California licensee or registrant meets the requirements to lawfully provide services in that jurisdiction, and delivery of services
via telehealth is allowed by that jurisdiction.

(f) Failure to comply with these provisions shall be considered unprofessional conduct.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 4980.60 and 4990.20, Business and Professions Code. Reference: Sections 2290.5, 4980, 4989.50, 4996, 4999.30, and 4999.82, Business and Professions Code.
§ 2290.5. TELEHEALTH; CONSENT REQUIREMENTS; EFFECT OF NONCOMPLIANCE ON HEALTH PRACTITIONER

(a) For purposes of this division, the following definitions shall apply:

1. “Asynchronous store and forward” means the transmission of a patient’s medical information from an originating site to the health care provider at a distant site.

2. “Distant site” means a site where a health care provider who provides health care services is located while providing these services via a telecommunications system.

3. “Health care provider” means any of the following:

   A. A person who is licensed under this division.

   B. An associate marriage and family therapist or marriage and family therapist trainee functioning pursuant to Section 4980.43.3.

   C. A qualified autism service provider or qualified autism service professional certified by a national entity pursuant to Section 1374.73 of the Health and Safety Code and Section 10144.51 of the Insurance Code.

4. “Originating site” means a site where a patient is located at the time health care services are provided via a telecommunications system or where the asynchronous store and forward service originates.

5. “Synchronous interaction” means a real-time interaction between a patient and a health care provider located at a distant site.

6. “Telehealth” means the mode of delivering health care services and public health via information and communication technologies to facilitate the diagnosis, consultation, treatment, education, care management, and self-management of a patient’s health care. Telehealth facilitates patient self-management and caregiver support for patients and includes synchronous interactions and asynchronous store and forward transfers.
(b) Before the delivery of health care via telehealth, the health care provider initiating
the use of telehealth shall inform the patient about the use of telehealth and obtain
verbal or written consent from the patient for the use of telehealth as an acceptable
mode of delivering health care services and public health. The consent shall be
documented.

(c) This section does not preclude a patient from receiving in-person health care
delivery services during a specified course of health care and treatment after
agreeing to receive services via telehealth.

(d) The failure of a health care provider to comply with this section shall constitute
unprofessional conduct. Section 2314 shall not apply to this section.

(e) This section shall not be construed to alter the scope of practice of a health care
provider or authorize the delivery of health care services in a setting, or in a manner,
not otherwise authorized by law.

(f) All laws regarding the confidentiality of health care information and a patient’s rights
to the patient’s medical information shall apply to telehealth interactions.

(g) All laws and regulations governing professional responsibility, unprofessional
conduct, and standards of practice that apply to a health care provider under the
health care provider’s license shall apply to that health care provider while providing
telehealth services.

(h) This section shall not apply to a patient under the jurisdiction of the Department of
Corrections and Rehabilitation or any other correctional facility.

(i) (1) Notwithstanding any other law and for purposes of this section, the governing
body of the hospital whose patients are receiving the telehealth services may grant
privileges to, and verify and approve credentials for, providers of telehealth
services based on its medical staff recommendations that rely on information
provided by the distant-site hospital or telehealth entity, as described in Sections
482.12, 482.22, and 485.616 of Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

(2) By enacting this subdivision, it is the intent of the Legislature to authorize a
hospital to grant privileges to, and verify and approve credentials for, providers of
telehealth services as described in paragraph (1).

(3) For the purposes of this subdivision, “telehealth” shall include “telemedicine” as
the term is referenced in Sections 482.12, 482.22, and 485.616 of Title 42 of the
Code of Federal Regulations.
January 11, 2018

Kim Madsen
Executive Officer
Board of Behavioral Sciences
1625 N. Market Blvd., Suite S-200
Sacramento, CA 95834

RE: 16 CCR § 1815.5: Telehealth

Dear Ms. Madsen:

On behalf of the California Association of Marriage and Family Therapists (CAMFT), we request that the Board of Behavioral Sciences (BBS) put 16 CCR § 1815.5 on their February 22, 2018 agenda for public discussion of possible amendment.

We want to start by thanking the BBS for attempting to tackle the incredibly complicated and difficult topic of California licensed or registered therapists practicing telehealth outside of California. This issue is one that comes up again and again and the BBS’s attempt to provide direction is to be commended.

The purpose of this letter, however, is to request that the BBS, along with stakeholders, re-review the subject of telehealth performed with individuals outside of California (sub-section § 1815.5 (e)) and its construction with unprofessional conduct (sub-section § 1815.5(f)). We are concerned that the connection between the two sub-sections, as currently written and placed, could lead to unintended consequences, as well as, additional confusion on this already complicated topic.

During the public comment period in 2014, CAMFT advocated that these sections together did not take into account California patients in other locations temporarily due to vacations or work, nor patients moving out of state but in need of transition. Although CAMFT understands the BBS has no authority over other states’ laws, CAMFT would like to see that the regulations allow for some flexibility in determining which out-of-state practices of telehealth would be deemed “unprofessional conduct.” The implication of these sub-sections together is that if a therapist does not meet the lawful requirements of the state where the client is located, providing telehealth to the client would inherently be considered unprofessional conduct.
In 2015, in response to the concerns discussed above, the BBS indicated that it would take into account mitigating circumstances if any disciplinary action were to arise, but no standards were released. In 2016, CAMFT requested that official guidelines be developed and published to help practitioners navigate the common patient scenarios, unfortunately none were made available.

As noted above, CAMFT is thankful to the BBS for being a leader in trying to clarify such a complex area of law, as well as empathetic to the difficulties associated in doing so. CAMFT would like to see additional discussion occur on the combination of sub-sections § 1815.5(e) and § 1815.5(f), and the unintended consequences (including sub-par patient care) that could be created with the language as currently written. For example, could sub-section § 1815.5(e) be moved elsewhere and reworded to not only act as a guide, but possibly allow the BBS more flexibility in reviewing any out-of-state complaints against California therapists. This is but one example.

CAMFT would like to hear more from the BBS, and other stakeholders, on how we can improve the law so that we are not only protecting patients, but also providing therapists clear and reasonable legal precedent.

We are hopeful that this topic can appear on the February 2018 Board meeting agenda, even if to simply begin discussions in advance of the April 2018 Board meeting.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Ann Tran-Lien, JD
Managing Director, Legal Affairs

Cathy Atkins, JD
Deputy Executive Director

cc: Rosanne Helms, Legislative Analyst, Board of Behavioral Sciences
Political Solutions, LLC