



1625 North Market Blvd., Suite S-200 Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 574-7830, (916) 574-8625 Fax www.bbs.ca.gov

To: Board Members Date: August 2, 2022

From: Steve Sodergren Telephone: (916) 574-7847

Executive Officer

Subject: Budget Update August 2022

Budget Fiscal Year 2020/2021

The Board's budget for fiscal year 2021-22 is \$13,132,000. Based on the information available to the Board, expenditures to date are \$10,602,380 (81%).

Personal Services \$5,557,955 (42%)
Operating Expenses & Equip \$3,499,261 (27%)
Enforcement \$821,701 (6%)
Exams \$723,463 (6%)

Board Fund Condition

The Board's Fund Condition for FY 2021-22 currently reflects a reserve of 8.0 months. (Attachment A)

0773 - Behavioral Science Fund Analysis of Fund Condition (Dollars in Thousands)							Prepared	7.2	6.2022
2022-23 Governor's Budget with 2021-22 FM 11 Projections	2	PY 020-21	2	CY 021-22	2	BY 022-23	BY +1 023-24	2	BY +2 024-25
BEGINNING BALANCE	\$	3,465	\$	5,536	\$	9,522	\$ 13,865	\$	17,866
Prior Year Adjustment	\$	132	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0
Adjusted Beginning Balance	\$	3,597	\$	5,536	\$	9,522	\$ 13,865	\$	17,866
REVENUES, TRANSFERS AND OTHER ADJUSTMENTS									
Revenues									
4121200 - Delinquent fees	\$	150	\$	175	\$	238	\$ 238	\$	238
4127400 - Renewal fees	\$	7,728	\$	10,709	\$	11,041	\$ 11,041	\$	11,041
4129200 - Other regulatory fees	\$	193	\$	200	\$	213	\$ 213	\$	213
4129400 - Other regulatory licenses and permits	\$	4,920	\$	6,419	\$	6,843	\$ 6,843	\$	6,843
4163000 - Income from surplus money investments	\$	31	\$	26	\$	210	\$ 264	\$	318
4171400 - Escheat of unclaimed checks and warrants	\$	15	\$	18	\$	12	\$ 12	\$	12
4172500 - Miscellaneous revenues	\$	3	\$	3	\$	3	\$ 3	\$	3
4173500 - Settlements and Judgements - Other	\$	1	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0
Totals, Revenues	\$	13,041	\$	17,550	\$	18,560	\$ 18,614	\$	18,668
TOTAL RESOURCES	\$	16,638	\$	23,086	\$	28,082	\$ 32,479	\$	36,534
Expenditures:									
1111 Department of Consumer Affairs Regulatory Boards, Bureaus, Divisions (State Operations)	\$	10,347	\$	12,108	\$	13,192	\$ 13,588	\$	13,995
Chapter 16, Statutes of 2020 (AB 84)	\$	0	\$	463	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0
9892 Supplemental Pension Payments (State Operations)	\$	212	\$	212	\$	212	\$ 212	\$	212
9900 Statewide General Administrative Expenditures (Pro Rata) (State Operations)	\$	543	\$	781	\$	813	\$ 813	\$	813
TOTALS, EXPENDITURES AND EXPENDITURE ADJUSTMENTS	\$	11,102	\$	13,564	\$	14,217	\$ 14,613	\$	15,020
FUND BALANCE									
Reserve for economic uncertainties	\$	5,536	\$	9,522	\$	13,865	\$ 17,866	\$	21,514

4.9

8.0

11.4

14.3

17.2

NOTES:

Months in Reserve

Assumes workload and revenue projections are realized in BY +1 and ongoing. Expenditure growth projected at 3% beginning BY +1. Expenditures General Salary 4.55% increase.





1625 North Market Blvd., Suite S-200 Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 574-7830, (916) 574-8625 Fax www.bbs.ca.gov

To: Board Members **Date:** August 5, 2022

From: Laurie Williams Telephone: (916) 574-7903

Human Resources Liaison

Subject: Personnel Update – August 2022 Board Meeting

PROMOTIONS/NEW EMPLOYEES

The Board currently has no promotions / new hires to report.

DEPARTURES

Cassandra Kearney officially retired on June 15, 2022. Cassandra serviced as the Staff Services Manager I over the Licensing Unit.

VACANCIES

The Board currently has eleven (11) vacancies.

Administration Unit

<u>Associate Governmental Program Analyst (AGPA)</u> – This position will serve as the performance analyst, communications analyst, BreEZe liaison and will oversee the BBS website. The Board has completed the interview process and submitted the candidates to Department of Consumer Affairs / Office of Human Resources (OHR) for approval.

Office Technician (OT) – This position will serve as the main receptionist. Recruitment for this position will be initiated following review of the duty statement.

Licensing Unit

<u>Staff Services Analyst (SSA) (3 Vacancies)</u> – Two positions will serve as licensing evaluators, and one will serve as lead analyst. The Board has completed the interview process and submitted the candidates to OHR for approval.

<u>Management Services Technician (MST)</u> – This vacancy serves as a Licensing Analyst. The Board is reviewing the duty statement to evaluate the future duties of this vacancy.

Enforcement: Consumer Complaint & Investigations Unit

<u>Associate Governmental Program Analyst (AGPA)</u> – This position investigates consumer complaints. The hiring manager is currently reviewing the candidate applications and the interviews will be scheduled this month.

Enforcement: Discipline and Probation Unit

Office Technician (OT) – This position will serve as the coordinator for the Cite & Fine program and will also provide clerical support to the unit. Recruitment for this position will be initiated following review of the duty statement and approval from OHR.

Enforcement: Criminal Conviction Unit

Office Technician (OT) – This position will serve as the fingerprint technician. The hiring manager has completed the interview process and has submitted the candidates to OHR for approval.

Registration, Examination & Cashiering Unit

Office Technician (OT) (2 vacancies) – These positions will serve as cashiers. The hiring manager has completed the interview process and has submitted the candidates to OHR for approval for one vacancy. The hiring manager has determined that this unit will readvertise for the other vacancy.





1625 North Market Blvd., Suite S-200 Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 574-7830, (916) 574-8625 Fax www.bbs.ca.gov

To: Board Members Date: August 2, 2022

From: Steve Sodergren Telephone: (916) 574-7847

Executive Officer

Subject: Licensing Report

License Population

A total of 2,928 new licenses were issued in the fourth quarter of FY 2021/2022. As of July 1, 2022, the Board has 130,343 licensees which is a 1% gain since the third quarter of FY 2021/2022. This figure includes all licenses that have been issued and that are current and/or eligible to renew.

LICENSE POPULATION (As of 4/5/2022)									
License	Active	Total Population							
AMFT	12,502	NA	2,176	14,678					
ASW	14,170	NA	3,146	17,316					
APCC	4,218	NA	1,894	6,112					
LMFT	44,828	3,743	3,233	51,804					
LCSW	30,863	2,254	1,895	35,012					
LEP	1,530	304	321	2,155					
LPCC	3,025	152	89	3,266					
TOTAL	111,136	6,453	12,754	130,343					

New Licenses Issued

Licenses Issued	1 st QTR FY 21/22 Volumes	2 nd QTR FY 21/22 Volumes	3 rd QTR FY 21/22 Volumes	4 th QTR FY 21/22 Volumes	TOTAL FOR FY 21/22
AMFT Registrations	1099	1198	962	580	3,839
ASW Registrations	1,445	1389	637	552	4,023

APCC Registrations	442	263	296	247	1,248
LMFT Upgrade	591	711	762	694	2,758
LMFT Initial	4	3	7	8	22
LCSW Upgrade	635	475	426	583	2,119
LCSW Initial	74	56	53	80	263
LEP Initial	24	55	20	27	126
LPCC Upgrade	96	105	81	99	381
LPCC Initial	44	46	51	58	199
Total Applications	4,454	4,301	3,295	2,928	14,978

Licensing Program Applications Received

Overall, the Board has received 38% more applications than were received in the fourth quarter of FY 2021/2022.

Application Received	1 st QTR FY 21/22	2 nd QTR FY 21/22	3 rd QTR FY 21/22	4 th QTR FY 21/22	Difference From 3 rd to 4th QTR
AMFT Registration	1,207	557	717	962	+34%
AMFT Subsequent Registration	182	162	171	167	-2%
LMFT Examination	463	500	623	614	-1%
LMFT Out of State	8	10	8	10	+25%
ASW Registration	1,190	492	547	1,448	+164%
ASW Subsequent Registration	193	158	168	197	+17%
LCSW Examination	619	651	695	661	-4%
LCSW Out of State	72	81	99	151	+53%
LEP Examination	38	16	29	51	+75%
APCC Registration	499	245	300	428	+42%
APCC Subsequent Registration	25	18	19	21	+10%
LPCC Examination	134	144	142	164	+15%
LPCC Out of State	58	70	72	82	+14%
Total Applications	4,688	3,104	3,590	4,956	+38%

Licensing Program Processing Times

Application Processing Times	1 st QTR FY 21/22	2 nd QTR FY 21/22	3 rd QTR FY 21/22	4 th QTR FY 21/22	Difference From 3 rd to 4th QTR
AMFT Registration	50	70	40	55	+15
LMFT Examination	194	52	57	59	+2
ASW Registration	61	57	27	35	+8
LCSW Examination	71	90	115	87	-28
LEP Examination	40	76	20	32	+12
APCC Registration	58	63	39	32	-7
LPCC Examination	35	33	26	55	+29

Renewal Activity

Renewals	1 st QTR FY 21/22	2 nd QTR FY 21/22	3 rd QTR FY 21/22	4 th QTR FY 21/22	Difference From 3 rd to 4th QTR
AMFT	3,074	2,230	2,306	2,385	+34%
LMFT	5,455	4,989	5,221	5,898	+12%
ASW	4,138	2,372	1,646	2,891	+76%
LCSW	3,626	3,223	3,253	3,836	+18%
LEP	223	170	206	223	+8%
APCC	962	351	634	770	+21%
LPCC	325	142	350	335	-4%
TOTAL Renewals	17,803	15,380	13,616	16,338	+20%

Administrative Applications

Administrative Application Volumes	1 st QTR FY 21/22	2 nd QTR FY 21/22	3 rd QTR FY 21/22	4 th QTR FY 21/22	Difference From 3rd to 4th QTR
Name Change	442	425	355	396	+12%
Address Change	4,022	3,225	3,602	3,446	-4%
Duplicate License	1,002	829	878	816	-7%
License Certification	814	794	744	899	+21%
TOTAL Admin Applications	6,280	5,273	5,579	5,557	-1%

BBS FOUR YEAR COMPARISON OF LICENSING STATISTICS

Licensing Population

License	FY 2018/2019	FY 2019/2020	FY 2020/2021	FY 2021/2022
AMFT	16,631	15,918	14,848	14,678
ASW	15,862	16,469	16,612	17,316
APCC	4,208	4,859	5,624	6,112
LMFT	46,500	47,849	50,156	51,804
LCSW	29,703	30,959	33,544	35,012
LEP	2,079	2,085	2,134	2,155
LPCC	1,957	2,276	2,758	3,266
Total Licensees	116,940	120,415	125,928	130,343

Licensing Applications Received

Application Received	FY	FY	FY	FY
Application Received	2018/2019	2019/2020	2020/2021	2021/2022
AMFT Registration	3,222	2,852	3,249	3,443
AMFT Registration Subsequent Number	710	743	777	682
LMFT Examination	3,360	3,011	3,279	2,200
LMFT Out of State	20	20	35	36
ASW Registration	3,395	3,422	3,745	3,677
ASW Registration	519	593	689	716
Subsequent Number	319	393	009	7 10
LCSW Examination	2,438	2,230	2,653	2,626
LCSW Out of State	197	228	284	403
LEP Examination	158	156	160	134
APCC Registration	1,234	1,282	1,498	1,472
APCC Registration Subsequent Number	13	48	61	83
LPCC Examination	344	411	470	584
LPCC Out of State	49	128	211	282
Total Applications	15,659	15,124	17,111	16,338

Licensing Program Processing Times

Application	FY 2018/2019	FY 2019/2020	FY 2020/2021	FY 2021/2022
AMFT Registration	27	43	41	54
LMFT Examination	77	118	89	91
ASW Registration	27	26	51	35
LCSW Examination	72	102	68	91
LEP Examination	18	28	39	42
APCC Registration	48	22	55	48
LPCC Examination	24	20	31	37

Renewal Activity

Renewals	FY 2018/2019	FY 2019/2020	FY 2020/2021	FY 2021/2022
AMFT MANUAL	576	169	106	114
AMFT ONLINE	6,955	10,325	10,199	9,820
LMFT MANUAL	726	611	446	535
LMFT ONLINE	15,237	19,465	20,976	21,006
ASW MANUAL	464	167	160	108
ASW ONLINE	5,746	10,075	10,696	10,852
LCSW MANUAL	413	358	273	285
LCSW ONLINE	9,811	12,328	13,834	13,640
LEP MANUAL	169	28	26	22
LEP ONLINE	456	770	807	798
APCC MANUAL	78	22	26	26
APCC ONLINE	1,198	2,289	2,754	2,958
LPCC MANUAL	10	11	6	9
LPCC ONLINE	523	970	868	1,289
Total Renewals	60,350	63,044	72,790	61,462

Administrative Applications

Application	FY 2018/2019	FY 2019/2020	FY 2020/2021	FY 2021/2022
Name Change Manual	1,493	1,379	1,171	1,618
Address Change	10,741	11,170	14,748	14,295
License Certification Manual	1,414	1,123	1,290	797
License Certification Online	269	614	1,587	2,770
Duplicate License Manual	206	174	174	196
Duplicate License Online	3,404	3,440	4,729	4,585
Total Admin Apps	17,527	17,900	23,699	24,261





1625 North Market Blvd., Suite S-200 Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 574-7830, (916) 574-8625 Fax www.bbs.ca.gov

To: Board Members Date: July 21, 2022

From: Steve Sodergren Telephone: (916) 574-7904

Executive Officer

Subject: Examinations Report – August 2022

EXAMINATION PASS RATES

Attached for your review are the examination statistics by school. A total 5,041 exams were administered in the fourth quarter of FY 2021/2022. This represents an approximate 10.75% increase in exam administrations from the third quarter of FY 2021/2022. For a detailed view of the school pass rate, please see Attachment A-Exam School Report 4th Quarter FY 2021-2022.

		3RD QT	R FY 2021/20	022		4TH QT	R FY 2021/2	2022
	Total	Pass%	Total First Time	Pass % First Time	Total	Pass %	Total First Time	Pass % First Time
LMFT Law & Ethics	989	73%	783	78%	1,134	78%	899	82%
LMFT Clinical	1,093	71%	756	85%	1,065	62%	696	77%
LCSW Law & Ethics	987	75%	760	79%	1,196	80%	970	81%
LCSW ASWB Clinical	883	55%	512	75%	993	58%	606	78%
LPCC Law & Ethics	415	68%	345	69%	452	74%	370	78%
LPCC NCMHCE	146	66%	135	68%	159	62%	102	69%
LEP	38	61%	28	64%	42	48%	34	53%
TOTAL	4,551				5,041			

EXAMINATION DEVELOPMENT

Eight examination development workshops were conducted from April 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022. Three passing score workshops were in person at the Office of Professional Exam Administrators office in Sacramento, CA. Five exam development workshops were done remotely.

As a result of the 2nd and 3rd quarter recruitment efforts, 73 licensees submitted interest in becoming SMEs. As of the date of this report, 43 of those have completed the contract process.

NATIONAL BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL CLINICAL COUNSELORS (NBCC)

NBCC administers the National Clinical Mental Health Counseling Exam (NCMHCE) and is transitioning to a new format in the Fall. The new format for the NCMHCE will emphasize the long-term, ongoing therapeutic process of the counseling relationship. Please see Attachment B-NCMHCE comparison chart for additional information about the changes. Board staff have asked OPES to complete a review of the changes.

An alert has been added to the Board's main webpage and a posting to LPCC exam section of our website. A notification was sent to those individuals who subscribe for e-mail alerts. Notices have also been posted to our FaceBook, Instagram, and Twitter social media pages. At the end of September, a second notification will be sent to those individuals who subscribe for e-mail alerts as well as on our social media pages.

With the introduction of the new format, NBCC will provide OnVue testing. OnVue testing will allow candidates to take the exam in the privacy of their home.

ASSOCIATION OF SOCIAL WORK BOARDS (ASWB) CLINICAL EXAM REVIEW

The Board of Behavioral Sciences (Board) requested that DCA's Office of Professional Examination Services (OPES) complete a comprehensive review of the Clinical Examination developed by ASWB. OPES performed this review in order to evaluate the suitability of using the Clinical Examination for licensure of clinical social workers in California. The report was completed in May 2022. Please see Attachment C-ASWB National Review Executive Summary.

OPES found that the procedures used to establish and support the validity and defensibility of the components listed above meet professional guidelines and technical standards outlined in the *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing* (2014) (*Standards*) and in California Business and Professions (B&P) Code § 139. However, to fully comply with B&P Code § 139 and related DCA *Policy OPES 20-01 Participation in Examination Development Workshops* (OPES 20-01), OPES recommends phasing out the service of educators in examination development processes.

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERPIST REGULATORY BOARDS CLINICAL EXAM REVIEW

The Board requested that DCA's Office of Professional Examination Services (OPES) review the Association of Marital and Family Therapy Regulatory Boards' (AMFTRB) Marital and Family Therapy National Examination (MFT National Examination). OPES performed this review to evaluate the suitability of the MFT National Examination for use in California licensure of marriage and family therapists.

The findings were discussed during the Board's August 11, 2022, closed session and will be further discussed at the November 2022 Board meeting during open session. Please see Attachment D-OPES AMFTRB review summary.

LPCC LAW AND ETHICS EXAM

A new version of the LPCC law and ethics exam was uploaded to the exam vendor, Pearson Vue, in May 2022. The Office of Professional Examination Services (OPES) did a routine statistical analysis which resulted in a review of the exam.

The result of the statistical analysis determined a rescore of the exam was necessary for the 157 candidates who attempted the exam between May 1, 2022 and June 3, 2022. All candidates reflected a failed attempt for the exam.

Upon completion of the OPES review, 126 scores were adjusted from fail to pass. The remaining 31 attempts remained as a fail. All 157 candidates were notified by e-mail of the statistical analysis and rescore.

A corrected version of the exam was uploaded to Pearson Vue and available for those candidates taking the exam June 4, 2022 and forward.

COVID-19 EXAM WAIVERS

In March 2020, Governor Newsom declared a State of Emergency to exist in California as a result of the threat of COVID-19. With this declaration, Executive Order N-39-20 was issued on March 30, 2020.

Based on Executive Order N-39-20, the Department of Consumer Affairs issued waivers, DCA-20-26, DCA-20-68, DCA-20-79, DCA-21-106, DCA-21-130, DCA-21-154, DCA-21-174, and DCA-21-196. This was for candidates whose eligibility expired between March 31, 2020 and October 31, 2021.

These waivers applied to those LCSW, LEP, LMFT, or LPCC candidates in the clinical or written exam cycle and to those non-registrant candidates in the law and ethics exam cycle 20-months instead of 12-months from the date of initial approval or a failed exam to re-take the exam.

As of June 30, 2022, all waiver extensions have ended.

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

LICENSE TYPE: LCSW

EXAM: LCSW Clinical Exam (ASWB)

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	ΓS				FIRST TIMER	3	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCEN
Azusa Pacific University, Azusa	103	17	4	24%	13	76%	9	4	44%	5	56%
California State University, Bakersfield	002	21	11	52%	10	48%	10	7	70%	3	30%
California State University, Chico	003	16	10	62%	6	38%	10	8	80%	2	20%
California State University, Dominguez Hills	004	38	14	37%	24	63%	16	9	56%	7	44%
California State University, Fresno	005	27	8	30%	19	70%	9	7	78%	2	22%
California State University, Fullerton	006	31	26	84%	5	16%	23	21	91%	2	9%
California State University, Hayward	007	32	13	41%	19	59%	14	10	71%	4	29%
California State University, Long Beach	008	85	46	54%	39	46%	40	33	82%	7	18%
California State University, Los Angeles	009	44	20	45%	24	55%	19	14	74%	5	26%
California State University, Monterey Bay	018	10	4	40%	6	60%	4	3	75%	1	25%
California State University, Northridge	010	44	26	59%	18	41%	27	19	70%	8	30%
California State University, Sacramento	011	53	31	58%	22	42%	31	24	77%	7	23%
California State University, San Bernardino	012	21	12	57%	9	43%	11	9	82%	2	18%
California State University, San Marcos	019	11	11	100%	0	0%	11	11	100%	0	0%
California State University, Stanislaus	013	18	7	39%	11	61%	10	7	70%	3	30%
Humboldt State University	014	9	7	78%	2	22%	7	6	86%	1	14%
Loma Linda University	125	18	9	50%	9	50%	10	7	70%	3	30%
OUT-OF-COUNTRY	400	5	1	20%	4	80%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Out-of-State	300	157	115	73%	42	27%	118	104	88%	14	12%
San Diego State University	015	22	18	82%	4	18%	20	18	90%	2	10%
San Francisco State	016	11	4	36%	7	64%	5	4	80%	1	20%

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL	-		E	XAM RESULT	ΓS		FIRST TIMER						
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT		
University													
San Jose State University	017	46	28	61%	18	39%	31	26	84%	5	16%		
UC, Berkeley	050	16	14	88%	2	12%	13	13	100%	0	0%		
UC, Los Angeles	052	24	20	83%	4	17%	18	18	100%	0	0%		
University of Southern California, Los Angeles	145	217	112	52%	105	48%	139	91	65%	48	35%		

58%

422

42%

606

474

78%

132

22%

571

EXAM: LCSW Law and Ethics

LCSW Clinical Exam (ASWB) TOTAL: 993

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	ΓS				FIRST TIMER	8	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
Azusa Pacific University,	20	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Azusa Pacific University, Azusa	103	18	18	100%	0	0%	15	15	100%	0	0%
BRANDMAN UNIVERSITY	147	19	11	58%	8	42%	17	10	59%	7	41%
California Baptist University	146	29	22	76%	7	24%	24	19	79%	5	21%
California State University, Bakersfield	002	28	20	71%	8	29%	22	16	73%	6	27%
California State University, Chico	003	16	14	88%	2	12%	10	9	90%	1	10%
California State University, Dominguez Hills	004	31	24	77%	7	23%	22	18	82%	4	18%
California State University, Fresno	005	20	18	90%	2	10%	13	12	92%	1	8%
California State University, Fullerton	006	19	18	95%	1	5%	16	15	94%	1	6%
California State University, Hayward	007	24	17	71%	7	29%	14	10	71%	4	29%
California State University, Long Beach	800	89	68	76%	21	24%	72	54	75%	18	25%
California State University, Los Angeles	009	34	30	88%	4	12%	27	23	85%	4	15%
California State University, Monterey Bay	018	18	14	78%	4	22%	13	12	92%	1	8%
California State University, Northridge	010	55	44	80%	11	20%	43	35	81%	8	19%
California State University,	011	30	24	80%	6	20%	25	21	84%	4	16%

2

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	ΓS				FIRST TIMER	2	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCEN
Sacramento											
California State University, San Bernardino	012	31	21	68%	10	32%	27	19	70%	8	30%
California State University, San Marcos	019	11	10	91%	1	9%	8	7	88%	1	12%
California State University, Stanislaus	013	29	21	72%	8	28%	25	20	80%	5	20%
Humboldt State University	014	14	12	86%	2	14%	12	10	83%	2	17%
Loma Linda University	125	6	4	67%	2	33%	6	4	67%	2	33%
OUT-OF-COUNTRY	400	7	3	43%	4	57%	5	3	60%	2	40%
Out-of-State	300	313	254	81%	59	19%	275	223	81%	52	19%
San Diego State University	015	26	25	96%	1	4%	24	23	96%	1	4%
San Francisco State University	016	12	10	83%	2	17%	9	7	78%	2	22%
San Jose State University	017	41	34	83%	7	17%	30	25	83%	5	17%
UC, Berkeley	050	20	18	90%	2	10%	20	18	90%	2	10%
UC, Los Angeles	052	16	14	88%	2	12%	14	13	93%	1	7%
University of Southern California, Los Angeles	145	238	189	79%	49	21%	180	147	82%	33	18%

LICENSE TYPE: LEP

EXAM: LEP Standard Written Exam

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	ΓS				FIRST TIME	3	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
Alliant International University (aka CSPP)	112	3	0	0%	3	100%	2	0	0%	2	100%
Alliant International University (aka US International)	139	1	0	0%	1	100%	1	0	0%	1	100%
Azusa Pacific University,	20	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Azusa Pacific University, Azusa	103	2	1	50%	1	50%	1	0	0%	1	100%
California State University, Dominguez Hills	004	1	0	0%	1	100%	1	0	0%	1	100%
California State University, Hayward	007	3	1	33%	2	67%	3	1	33%	2	67%

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	ΓS				FIRST TIMER	2	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
California State University, Los Angeles	009	3	1	33%	2	67%	3	1	33%	2	67%
Chapman University, Orange	113	5	2	40%	3	60%	3	2	67%	1	33%
Dominican University of California	117	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0		0	
Fresno Pacific University	153	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Loyola Marymount University, Los Angeles	126	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
National University	129	6	2	33%	4	67%	6	2	33%	4	67%
Out-of-State	300	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
San Francisco State University	016	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
UC, Riverside	053	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
UC, San Diego	054	2	1	50%	1	50%	2	1	50%	1	50%
University of La Verne, La Verne	140	4	1	25%	3	75%	2	1	50%	1	50%
University of the Pacific, Stockton	146	2	1	50%	1	50%	1	1	100%	0	0%

LICENSE TYPE: LMFT

EXAM: LMFT Clinical Exam

SCHOOL			EX	KAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIMEF	2	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
Alliant International University (aka US International)	139	25	21	84%	4	16%	21	19	90%	2	10%
Antioch University, Los Angeles	241	47	34	72%	13	28%	31	28	90%	3	10%
Antioch University, Santa Barbara	243	15	9	60%	6	40%	9	8	89%	1	11%
Argosy University (aka American School of Prof. Psych.	204	38	16	42%	22	58%	16	8	50%	8	50%
Azusa Pacific University,	20	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Azusa Pacific University, Azusa	103	29	19	66%	10	34%	20	15	75%	5	25%
Bethel Theological Seminary	152	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Brandman University	253	48	28	58%	20	42%	27	21	78%	6	22%
Calif. Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo - Cal Poly	001	5	5	100%	0	0%	5	5	100%	0	0%

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E.	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	3	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
California Baptist University, Riverside	105	44	26	59%	18	41%	27	21	78%	6	22%
California Graduate Institute, Los Angeles	203	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
California Institute of Integral Studies, S.F.	107	34	29	85%	5	15%	31	28	90%	3	10%
California Lutheran University, Thousand Oaks	108	12	6	50%	6	50%	6	6	100%	0	0%
California Southern University	246	5	4	80%	1	20%	4	3	75%	1	25%
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona	019	4	3	75%	1	25%	3	3	100%	0	0%
California State University, Bakersfield	002	3	1	33%	2	67%	2	1	50%	1	50%
California State University, Chico	003	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
California State University, Dominguez Hills	004	17	10	59%	7	41%	12	7	58%	5	42%
California State University, Fresno	005	17	12	71%	5	29%	12	9	75%	3	25%
California State University, Fullerton	006	26	20	77%	6	23%	18	16	89%	2	11%
California State University, Hayward	007	13	10	77%	3	23%	10	9	90%	1	10%
California State University, Long Beach	800	5	4	80%	1	20%	4	4	100%	0	0%
California State University, Los Angeles	009	7	5	71%	2	29%	6	5	83%	1	17%
California State University, Northridge	010	15	9	60%	6	40%	12	9	75%	3	25%
California State University, Sacramento	011	5	3	60%	2	40%	4	3	75%	1	25%
California State University, San Bernardino	012	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
California State University, Stanislaus	013	4	4	100%	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0%
Cambridge University	265	2	0	0%	2	100%	1	0	0%	1	100%
Chapman University, Orange	113	7	5	71%	2	29%	6	5	83%	1	17%
Dominican University of California	117	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Fresno Pacific Bibilical Seminary, Fresno	127	2	1	50%	1	50%	2	1	50%	1	50%
Fuller Theological Seminary,	119	8	7	88%	1	12%	8	7	88%	1	12%

5

Jul 19, 2022

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E.	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	3	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
Pasadena											
Golden Gate University	151	6	3	50%	3	50%	4	3	75%	1	25%
HIS University	247	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0		0	
Holy Names University, Oakland	122	9	5	56%	4	44%	6	4	67%	2	33%
Hope International University	131	15	10	67%	5	33%	9	9	100%	0	0%
Humboldt State University	014	3	3	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
John F. Kennedy University, Orinda	124	29	18	62%	11	38%	19	13	68%	6	32%
La Sierra University	252	1	0	0%	1	100%	1	0	0%	1	100%
Loma Linda University	125	6	4	67%	2	33%	5	4	80%	1	20%
Loyola Marymount University, Los Angeles	126	4	3	75%	1	25%	4	3	75%	1	25%
Meridian University	231	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Mount St. Mary's University, Los Angeles	128	15	11	73%	4	27%	9	7	78%	2	22%
National University	129	65	29	45%	36	55%	32	19	59%	13	41%
New College of California, San Francisco	130	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
Northcentral University	256	10	6	60%	4	40%	6	5	83%	1	17%
Notre Dame de Namur University	116	15	12	80%	3	20%	11	10	91%	1	9%
OUT-OF-COUNTRY	400	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
Out-of-State	300	24	14	58%	10	42%	14	10	71%	4	29%
Pacific Oaks College, Pasadena	133	30	9	30%	21	70%	14	6	43%	8	57%
Pacifica Graduate Institute, Carpenteria	154	18	12	67%	6	33%	13	10	77%	3	23%
Palo Alto University	258	22	19	86%	3	14%	19	17	89%	2	11%
Pentecostal Theological Seminary	249	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Pepperdine University, Malibu	135	50	35	70%	15	30%	41	30	73%	11	27%
Phillips Graduate Institute	106	26	10	38%	16	62%	14	5	36%	9	64%
POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY	261	4	3	75%	1	25%	4	3	75%	1	25%
Ryokan College, Los Angeles	216	2	0	0%	2	100%	0	0		0	
San Diego State University	015	7	4	57%	3	43%	5	4	80%	1	20%
San Francisco State University	016	5	3	60%	2	40%	2	2	100%	0	0%
San Jose State University	017	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	3	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCEN
Santa Clara University	144	24	20	83%	4	17%	20	17	85%	3	15%
Saybrook University	137	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Simpson University	254	5	4	80%	1	20%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Sofia University, San Jose	155	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Sonoma State University	018	6	5	83%	1	17%	4	3	75%	1	25%
Southern California Seminary (aka Southern CA Bible College and Seminary)	237	2	1	50%	1	50%	2	1	50%	1	50%
St. Mary's College of CA, Moraga	136	6	2	33%	4	67%	3	2	67%	1	33%
The Chicago School of Professional Psychology at Los Angeles	251	9	8	89%	1	11%	5	4	80%	1	20%
TOURO UNIVERSITY	262	18	11	61%	7	39%	14	9	64%	5	36%
University of La Verne, La Verne	140	6	5	83%	1	17%	4	4	100%	0	0%
University of Phoenix, Sacramento	238	5	0	0%	5	100%	0	0		0	
University of Phoenix, San Diego	236	89	30	34%	59	66%	39	19	49%	20	51%
University of San Diego, San Diego	142	6	6	100%	0	0%	6	6	100%	0	0%
University of San Francisco, San Francisco	143	26	16	62%	10	38%	16	14	88%	2	12%
University of Southern California, Los Angeles	145	13	13	100%	0	0%	12	12	100%	0	0%
University of the West	255	4	3	75%	1	25%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Vanguard University of Southern California	156	4	3	75%	1	25%	3	3	100%	0	0%
Webster University	248	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0		0	
Western Institute for Social Research, Berkeley	220	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Western Seminary (Western Conservative Baptist Seminary)	232	9	3	33%	6	67%	5	2	40%	3	60%
William Jessup University	266	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Wright Institute, Berkeley	150	12	12	100%	0	0%	12	12	100%	0	0%

7

EXAM: LMFT Law and Ethics

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	?	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCEN
Alliant International University (aka CSPP)	112	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Alliant International University (aka US International)	139	25	20	80%	5	20%	20	16	80%	4	20%
Antioch University, Los Angeles	241	65	53	82%	12	18%	58	49	84%	9	16%
Antioch University, Santa Barbara	243	12	9	75%	3	25%	7	4	57%	3	43%
Argosy University (aka American School of Prof. Psych.	204	17	10	59%	7	41%	8	5	62%	3	38%
Azusa Pacific University,	20	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Azusa Pacific University, Azusa	103	19	17	89%	2	11%	19	17	89%	2	11%
Bethany College	157	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Bethel Theological Seminary	152	3	3	100%	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0%
Brandman University	253	48	31	65%	17	35%	31	22	71%	9	29%
Calif. Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo - Cal Poly	001	4	4	100%	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0%
California Baptist University, Riverside	105	38	28	74%	10	26%	30	23	77%	7	23%
California Institute of Integral Studies, S.F.	107	46	40	87%	6	13%	41	35	85%	6	15%
California Lutheran University, Thousand Oaks	108	8	8	100%	0	0%	8	8	100%	0	0%
California Southern University	246	6	5	83%	1	17%	5	5	100%	0	0%
California State University, Bakersfield	002	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
California State University, Chico	003	4	3	75%	1	25%	4	3	75%	1	25%
California State University, Dominguez Hills	004	17	9	53%	8	47%	14	8	57%	6	43%
California State University, Fresno	005	23	20	87%	3	13%	22	19	86%	3	14%
California State University, Fullerton	006	21	20	95%	1	5%	20	19	95%	1	5%
California State University, Hayward	007	16	14	88%	2	12%	14	12	86%	2	14%
California State University, Long Beach	800	7	6	86%	1	14%	6	5	83%	1	17%
California State University, Los Angeles	009	8	6	75%	2	25%	7	5	71%	2	29%
California State University, Northridge	010	12	9	75%	3	25%	10	8	80%	2	20%

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIMEF	?	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
California State University, Sacramento	011	5	4	80%	1	20%	5	4	80%	1	20%
California State University, San Bernardino	012	7	6	86%	1	14%	7	6	86%	1	14%
California State University, Stanislaus	013	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Cambridge University	265	3	1	33%	2	67%	2	1	50%	1	50%
Capella University	260	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0		0	
Chapman University, Orange	113	6	5	83%	1	17%	4	3	75%	1	25%
Dominican University of California	117	9	9	100%	0	0%	9	9	100%	0	0%
Fresno Pacific University	153	3	2	67%	1	33%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Fuller Theological Seminary, Pasadena	119	4	3	75%	1	25%	2	1	50%	1	50%
Golden Gate University	151	5	4	80%	1	20%	3	3	100%	0	0%
Holy Names University, Oakland	122	8	7	88%	1	12%	6	6	100%	0	0%
Hope International University	131	19	16	84%	3	16%	16	14	88%	2	12%
Humboldt State University	014	4	3	75%	1	25%	3	3	100%	0	0%
John F. Kennedy University, Orinda	124	38	28	74%	10	26%	25	21	84%	4	16%
La Sierra University	252	2	2	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Loma Linda University	125	3	1	33%	2	67%	3	1	33%	2	67%
Loyola Marymount University, Los Angeles	126	12	10	83%	2	17%	9	7	78%	2	22%
Mount St. Mary's University, Los Angeles	128	9	6	67%	3	33%	4	2	50%	2	50%
National University	129	50	34	68%	16	32%	30	23	77%	7	23%
New College of California, San Francisco	130	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Northcentral University	256	7	5	71%	2	29%	6	5	83%	1	17%
Notre Dame de Namur University	116	15	12	80%	3	20%	11	10	91%	1	9%
OUT-OF-COUNTRY	400	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0		0	
Out-of-State	300	42	29	69%	13	31%	35	24	69%	11	31%
Pacific Graduate School of Psychology, Palo Alto	149	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Pacific Oaks College, Pasadena	133	26	15	58%	11	42%	15	10	67%	5	33%
Pacifica Graduate Institute,	154	25	21	84%	4	16%	22	19	86%	3	14%

Jul 19, 2022

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E.	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	2	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
Carpenteria										ĺ	
Palo Alto University	258	21	19	90%	2	10%	17	15	88%	2	12%
Pepperdine University, Malibu	135	85	70	82%	15	18%	72	62	86%	10	14%
Phillips Graduate Institute	106	10	8	80%	2	20%	8	7	88%	1	12%
POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY	261	6	5	83%	1	17%	5	4	80%	1	20%
San Diego State University	015	12	12	100%	0	0%	10	10	100%	0	0%
San Francisco State University	016	11	10	91%	1	9%	10	9	90%	1	10%
San Jose State University	017	4	2	50%	2	50%	4	2	50%	2	50%
Santa Clara University	144	25	23	92%	2	8%	20	19	95%	1	5%
Simpson University	254	5	5	100%	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0%
Sofia University, San Jose	155	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Sonoma State University	018	4	4	100%	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0%
Southern California Seminary (aka Southern CA Bible College and Seminary)	237	3	1	33%	2	67%	1	0	0%	1	100%
St. Mary's College of CA, Moraga	136	6	5	83%	1	17%	6	5	83%	1	17%
The Chicago School of Professional Psychology at Los Angeles	251	20	13	65%	7	35%	15	10	67%	5	33%
TOURO UNIVERSITY	262	17	15	88%	2	12%	13	11	85%	2	15%
UMASS Global	272	2	1	50%	1	50%	2	1	50%	1	50%
University of La Verne, La Verne	140	3	3	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
University of Phoenix, San Diego	236	57	27	47%	30	53%	27	13	48%	14	52%
University of San Diego, San Diego	142	9	7	78%	2	22%	8	7	88%	1	12%
University of San Francisco, San Francisco	143	45	35	78%	10	22%	39	31	79%	8	21%
University of Southern California, Los Angeles	145	23	22	96%	1	4%	22	21	95%	1	5%
University of the West	255	2	2	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Vanguard University of Southern California	156	9	8	89%	1	11%	9	8	89%	1	11%
Walden University	263	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Western Institute for Social Research, Berkeley	220	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Western Seminary (Western	232	2	1	50%	1	50%	2	1	50%	1	50%

10 Jul 19, 2022

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	?	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
Conservative Baptist Seminary)											
William Jessup University	266	9	7	78%	2	22%	8	6	75%	2	25%
Wright Institute, Berkeley	150	28	28	100%	0	0%	27	27	100%	0	0%
LMFT Law and Et	hics TO	TAL: 1,	134 8	86 789	% 24	18 22%	899	737	82%	162	18%

LICENSE TYPE: LPCC

EXAM: LPCC Law and Ethics

SCHOOL			E)	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	3	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
Alliant International University (aka CSPP)	112	5	3	60%	2	40%	3	2	67%	1	33%
Alliant International University (aka US International)	139	3	0	0%	3	100%	0	0		0	
Antioch University, Los Angeles	241	5	3	60%	2	40%	5	3	60%	2	40%
Antioch University, Santa Barbara	243	3	3	100%	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0%
Argosy University (aka American School of Prof. Psych.	204	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0		0	
Azusa Pacific University, Azusa	103	15	8	53%	7	47%	9	5	56%	4	44%
Brandman University	253	15	12	80%	3	20%	12	11	92%	1	8%
California Baptist University, Riverside	105	11	9	82%	2	18%	10	9	90%	1	10%
California Institute of Integral Studies, S.F.	107	4	4	100%	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0%
California Southern University	246	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
California State University, Bakersfield	002	1	0	0%	1	100%	1	0	0%	1	100%
California State University, Fresno	005	6	4	67%	2	33%	4	4	100%	0	0%
California State University, Fullerton	006	9	9	100%	0	0%	9	9	100%	0	0%
California State University, Hayward	007	3	3	100%	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0%
California State University, Long Beach	800	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
California State University, Los Angeles	009	4	3	75%	1	25%	3	2	67%	1	33%

11

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	?	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
California State University, Northridge	010	2	2	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
California State University, Sacramento	011	4	3	75%	1	25%	4	3	75%	1	25%
California State University, San Bernardino	012	6	6	100%	0	0%	6	6	100%	0	0%
California State University, Stanislaus	013	5	3	60%	2	40%	4	2	50%	2	50%
Chapman University, Orange	113	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Concordia University	268	6	4	67%	2	33%	5	3	60%	2	40%
Dominican University of California	117	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Golden Gate University	151	1	0	0%	1	100%	1	0	0%	1	100%
GRAND CANYON UNIVERSITY	264	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
Holy Names University, Oakland	122	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Hope International University	131	3	1	33%	2	67%	3	1	33%	2	67%
John F. Kennedy University, Orinda	124	6	3	50%	3	50%	4	3	75%	1	25%
Loma Linda University	125	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Loyola Marymount University, Los Angeles	126	4	0	0%	4	100%	1	0	0%	1	100%
National University	129	9	5	56%	4	44%	8	4	50%	4	50%
Notre Dame de Namur University	116	9	9	100%	0	0%	9	9	100%	0	0%
Out-of-State	300	163	118	72%	45	28%	125	92	74%	33	26%
Pacific Graduate School of Psychology, Palo Alto	149	4	4	100%	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0%
Pacific Oaks College, Pasadena	133	2	2	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Palo Alto University	258	4	4	100%	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0%
Pepperdine University, Malibu	135	18	15	83%	3	17%	17	14	82%	3	18%
Point Loma Nazarene University	261	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
San Diego State University	015	9	8	89%	1	11%	6	5	83%	1	17%
San Francisco State University	016	6	6	100%	0	0%	6	6	100%	0	0%
San Jose State University	017	8	2	25%	6	75%	5	1	20%	4	80%
Santa Clara University	144	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Saybrook University	137	2	0	0%	2	100%	2	0	0%	2	100%
Sonoma State University	018	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	3	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCEN
St. Mary's College of CA, Moraga	136	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
The Chicago School of Professional Psychology at Los Angeles	251	6	3	50%	3	50%	5	2	40%	3	60%
TOURA UNIVERSITY	262	4	4	100%	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0%
University of La Verne, La Verne	140	9	5	56%	4	44%	7	4	57%	3	43%
University of Phoenix, San Diego	236	7	4	57%	3	43%	5	2	40%	3	60%
University of Redlands	259	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
University of San Diego, San Diego	142	17	16	94%	1	6%	17	16	94%	1	6%
University of San Francisco, San Francisco	143	15	10	67%	5	33%	14	10	71%	4	29%
University of the Pacific, Stockton	146	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Vanguard University of Southern California	156	4	4	100%	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0%
WALDEN UNIVERSITY	263	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Western Seminary (Western Conservative Baptist Seminary)	232	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
William Jessup University	266	4	4	100%	0	0%	4	4	100%	0	0%
Wright Institute, Berkeley	150	9	9	100%	0	0%	9	9	100%	0	0%

EXAM: NCMHCE Exam

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIMEF	?	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
Alliant International University (aka CSPP)	112	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Antioch University, Los Angeles	241	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Argosy University (aka American School of Prof. Psych.	204	2	1	50%	1	50%	2	1	50%	1	50%
Azusa Pacific University,	20	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
Azusa Pacific University, Azusa	103	7	3	43%	4	57%	4	2	50%	2	50%
Brandman University	253	3	0	0%	3	100%	1	0	0%	1	100%
California Baptist University, Riverside	105	3	1	33%	2	67%	1	0	0%	1	100%
California Southern University	246	2	2	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E.	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	2	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCEN
California State University, Chico	003	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
California State University, Fresno	005	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
California State University, Fullerton	006	4	2	50%	2	50%	3	2	67%	1	33%
California State University, Long Beach	008	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
California State University, Los Angeles	009	3	0	0%	3	100%	2	0	0%	2	100%
California State University, Sacramento	011	7	5	71%	2	29%	4	3	75%	1	25%
California State University, San Bernardino	012	4	4	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
Capella University	260	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
Fuller Theological Seminary, Pasadena	119	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
GRAND CANYON UNIVERSITY	264	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
Holy Names University, Oakland	122	2	0	0%	2	100%	2	0	0%	2	100%
John F. Kennedy University, Orinda	124	3	1	33%	2	67%	0	0		0	
Loma Linda University	125	3	1	33%	2	67%	2	1	50%	1	50%
Loyola Marymount University, Los Angeles	126	7	3	43%	4	57%	5	3	60%	2	40%
National University	129	3	3	100%	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0%
Out-of-State	300	47	34	72%	13	28%	31	23	74%	8	26%
Pacifica Graduate Institute, Carpenteria	154	3	2	67%	1	33%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Palo Alto University	258	5	4	80%	1	20%	4	3	75%	1	25%
Pepperdine University, Malibu	135	3	3	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Phillips Graduate Institute	106	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
San Diego State University	015	3	1	33%	2	67%	3	1	33%	2	67%
San Francisco State University	016	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0		0	
San Jose State University	017	3	2	67%	1	33%	1	0	0%	1	100%
Sofia University, San Jose	155	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
Sonoma State University	018	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
The Chicago School of Professional Psychology at Los Angeles	251	4	3	75%	1	25%	3	2	67%	1	33%

14

EXAM RESULTS BY SCHOOL

EXAM DATES: Apr 1, 2022 THROUGH Jun 30, 2022

SCHOOL			E	XAM RESUL	TS				FIRST TIME	3	
SCHOOL NAME	CODE	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT	TAKING EXAM	PASSED	PASSED PERCENT	FAILED	FAILED PERCENT
UC, San Diego	054	2	2	100%	0	0%	2	2	100%	0	0%
University of La Verne, La Verne	140	3	2	67%	1	33%	2	2	100%	0	0%
University of Redlands	259	4	2	50%	2	50%	2	1	50%	1	50%
University of San Diego, San Diego	142	3	3	100%	0	0%	3	3	100%	0	0%
University of San Francisco, San Francisco	143	6	3	50%	3	50%	6	3	50%	3	50%
Vanguard University of Southern California	156	1	1	100%	0	0%	1	1	100%	0	0%
WALDEN UNIVERSITY	263	1	0	0%	1	100%	0	0		0	
Western Seminary (Western Conservative Baptist Seminary)	232	4	3	75%	1	25%	2	2	100%	0	0%
Wright Institute, Berkeley	150	1	0	0%	1	100%	1	0	0%	1	100%
NCMHCE EX	am TO	ΤΔΙ· 1	59 9	08 625	% 6	1 38%	102	70	69%	32	31%

15 Jul 19, 2022



National Clinical Mental Health Counseling Examination (NCMHCE) Comparison: Old and New Examination Formats

	Old Format	New Format
Availability	Certification candidates: until Spring 2022 Licensure candidates: until October 2022	Certification candidates: beginning Fall 2022 Licensure candidates: beginning November 2022
Examination Description	Ten (10) clinical simulations designed with a focus on clinical decision-making. Each simulation is divided into 5–10 sections classified as either Information Gathering or Decision-Making.	Eleven (11) case studies designed to replicate the actual work of a clinical mental health counselor, working with a client over time, through intake and in clinical sessions. The case studies are designed to capture an examinee's ability to identify, analyze, diagnose, develop, and implement plans for treatment of clinical concerns. Each case study includes one narrative and 13 multiple-choice questions.
What content is measured?	The examination covers the following content areas: Assessment and Diagnosis; Counseling and Psychotherapy; and Administration, Consultation, and Supervision.	The examination covers the following content areas based on the new_content Outline : Professional Practice and Ethics; Intake, Assessment, and Diagnosis; Treatment Planning; Counseling Skills and Interventions; and Core Counseling Attributes.
Duration	195 minutes	260 minutes
Number of Items on a Test Form	350–500	143
Test Administration Mode	In test centers	In test centers and online
Structure	 3 parts Scenario – provides setting and introductory client information Information Gathering – examinee gathers all relevant information Decision-Making – examinee makes decisions based on the information gathered 	 3 parts Intake – provides setting and introductory client information, presenting concerns, and diagnosis A Clinical Session – the counselor works with the client on their presenting concerns using evidence-based approaches Another Clinical Session – the counselor continues the work from Part 2
Is the client's diagnosis provided to the examinee?	No, the examinee arrives at a diagnosis through information gathering.	Yes, the client's initial diagnosis is provided at the beginning of each case study. Candidates are asked to evaluate how that judgment was made. However, candidates may be asked to provide co-occurring diagnoses or may need to change a diagnosis as the therapeutic relationship evolves within the case study.



	Old Format	New Format	
Are candidates penalized for incorrect answers through negative marking?	Yes	No	
Item Format	Includes both Multiple Options questions (select as many as are appropriate for the client) and Single Best Option questions (choose only one)	All questions are <u>standard multiple choice</u> : choose the correct answer among options A–D.	
Candidate Handbook	Candidate Handbook for National Certification Candidate Handbook for State Licensure	Coming Soon	
Cut score (passing score)	Scoring at or above the cut score, for both information gathering and decision-making, is required.	Scoring at or above the cut score, based on the total number of correct responses, is required.	
Timing of <i>unofficial</i> score report	Upon examination completion (for both formats)		
When does state board receive official score report?	For both formats: If you pass the examination, your official score will be forwarded to your state licensure board within 30 days of the end of the testing window. You should contact your state board for the next steps for licensure.		
Application fee	The application fee is the same for both.		
How to register?	Register via ProCounselor (for both formats). Certification candidates register here. Licensure candidates register here. Licensure candidates for standard-setting cohort with \$50 discount register here.		
How to schedule?	Schedule through Pearson VUE (for both formats).		
Accommodations	The same for both. See the NBCC/CCE Special Examination Accommodations Policy.		
Appeals Process	The same for both. See the NBCC/CCE Examination Appeal Policy.		



REVIEW OF THE ASSOCIATION OF SOCIAL WORK BOARDS CLINICAL EXAMINATION



BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES

REVIEW OF THE ASSOCIATION OF SOCIAL WORK BOARDS CLINICAL EXAMINATION



May 2022



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Licensing boards and bureaus within the California Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) are required to ensure that examination programs used in California licensure comply with psychometric and legal standards. To become a licensed clinical social worker (LCSW) in California, a candidate must have the requisite education and experience and pass two examinations:

- 1. The California LCSW Law and Ethics Examination
- 2. The Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) Clinical Examination

The Board of Behavioral Sciences (Board) requested that DCA's Office of Professional Examination Services (OPES) complete a comprehensive review of its examination program. This report is a review of the Clinical Examination developed by ASWB. OPES performed this review in order to evaluate the suitability of using the Clinical Examination for licensure of clinical social workers in California.

ASWB develops and administers the Clinical Examination, a national examination that measures knowledge related to the competencies required for safe, entry level practice as a clinical social worker. This examination is required by all clinical social work licensing agencies in the United States. According to the *ASWB Exam Candidate Handbook* (2022), the Clinical Examination is one of five ASWB social worker examinations: Associate, Bachelors, Masters, Advanced Generalist, and Clinical.

OPES, in collaboration with the Board, reviewed a report provided by ASWB on the occupational analysis (OA) of the social work profession that was conducted in 2015–2016. In addition, OPES reviewed other reports and documents provided by ASWB regarding practices and procedures used to develop and validate the Clinical Examination. OPES performed a comprehensive evaluation of the documents to determine whether the following Clinical Examination components met professional guidelines and technical standards: (a) OA, (b) examination development and scoring, (c) passing scores and passing rates, (d) test administration and score reporting, and (e) test security procedures. Follow-up emails were also exchanged with ASWB representatives to clarify processes.

OPES found that the procedures used to establish and support the validity and defensibility of the components listed above meet professional guidelines and technical standards outlined in the *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing* (2014) (*Standards*) and in California Business and Professions (B&P) Code § 139. However, to fully comply with B&P Code § 139 and related DCA *Policy OPES 20-01 Participation in Examination Development Workshops* (OPES 20-01), OPES recommends phasing out the service of educators in examination development processes.

In addition to reviewing documents provided by ASWB, OPES test specialists convened a workshop of California LCSWs in November 2021. The LCSWs served as subject matter experts (SMEs) to review the content of the Clinical Examination. The SMEs were selected to represent the profession in terms of geographic location and experience. The purpose of the review was to link the content of the Clinical Examination blueprint to the California LCSW description of practice that resulted from the 2021 *Occupational Analysis of the Licensed Clinical Social Worker Profession* (2021 California LCSW OA) performed by OPES. During this workshop, the SMEs linked the tasks and knowledge statements from the California description of practice to the examination content of the Clinical Examination. The linkage study was performed to identify whether there were areas of California clinical social work practice that are not measured by the Clinical Examination.

The results of the linkage study indicated that the competencies associated with all the practice areas included in the California LCSW description of practice were adequately linked to the content of the Clinical Examination, except ethical standards and California laws and regulations. The SMEs indicated that ethical standards and California laws and regulations should continue to be measured by the California LCSW Law and Ethics Examination.



OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL EXAMINATION SERVICES 2420 Del Paso Road. Suite 265. Sacramento. CA 95834



2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 265, Sacramento, CA 95834 P (916) 575-7240 F (916) 575-7291

MEMORANDUM

DATE	August 1, 2022
то	Steve Sodergren, Executive Officer California Board of Behavioral Sciences
	Hiow Lincer
FROM	Heidi Lincer, Ph.D., Chief Office of Professional Examination Services
SUBJECT	Review of the Marital and Family Therapy National Examination

As mandated by Business and Professions (B&P) Code § 139, and Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) Policy *OPES 18-02 Licensure Examination Validation*, all licensure examinations must demonstrate validity and meet accepted professional guidelines and technical standards. This mandate applies to both state-specific and national examinations. Failure to establish this validation evidence jeopardizes the fairness and legal defensibility of examination programs.

To comply with this mandate, the California Board of Behavioral Sciences (Board) requested that DCA's Office of Professional Examination Services (OPES) review the Association of Marital and Family Therapy Regulatory Boards' (AMFTRB) Marital and Family Therapy National Examination (MFT National Examination). OPES performed this review to evaluate the suitability of the MFT National Examination for use in California licensure of marriage and family therapists.

OPES performed a comprehensive evaluation of documents provided by AMFTRB to determine whether the following components met professional guidelines and technical standards: (a) occupational analysis, (b) examination development and scoring, (c) passing scores and passing rates, (d) test administration, and (e) test security procedures. Follow-up emails were also exchanged with AMFTRB representatives to clarify processes.

As documented in the enclosed report, OPES found that the procedures used to establish and support the validity and defensibility of the components listed above generally meet professional guidelines and technical standards outlined in the *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (2014 Standards)* and in B&P Code § 139.

The enclosed report outlines differences between the MFT National Examination and the California LMFT Written Clinical Examination. The differences mainly reflect variations in

MFT National Examination Review Page 2

measurement scope and administration. That is, national examinations test broad competencies related to practice, whereas state examinations test competencies specific to practice within California. OPES is available to discuss these differences during closed session at the Board meeting on August 11–12, 2022. OPES must adhere to the nondisclosure agreement with AMFTRB and cannot disclose details in open session.

OPES acknowledges the role of national examinations in regulatory licensing and recognizes the importance of portability in addressing the mental health needs of Californians. OPES works with DCA's boards to facilitate portability and remove barriers to practice wherever possible. OPES applauds the Board's efforts to be responsive to stakeholders and prevent barriers to licensure, while maintaining focus on validating examination programs and preserving consumer protection.

If you have questions about the information presented in this memo or in the enclosed report, please contact me.

Enclosure: Review of The Association of Marital and Family Therapy Regulatory Boards
Marital and Family Therapy National Examination

cc: Tracy Montez, Ph.D., Chief, Division of Programs and Policy Review





1625 North Market Blvd., Suite S-200 Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 574-7830, (916) 574-8625 Fax www.bbs.ca.gov

To: Board Members Date: August 2, 2022

From: Steve Sodergren Telephone: (916) 574-7904

Executive Officer

Subject: Enforcement Report – FY 2021-2022

CONSUMER & CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS

	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	TOTAL
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	
Consumer Complaints	401	443	511	477	1,832
Criminal Convictions	357	352	255	247	1,211
Cases Closed	519	653	536	513	1,708
Referred to Attorney General	20	12	23	13	68
Cases Pending at Attorney	57	52	61	57	-
General					
Accusations Filed	15	8	15	11	49
Statement of Issues Filed	1	1	2	6	10
Citations Issued	9	5	5	2	21
Final Disciplinary Orders	9	11	7	1	28
					AVRG.
Average Number of Days to	528	472	560	463	506
Complete Formal Discipline *					
Average Number of Days a	381	373	372	348	369
Case is at Attorney General					
**					
Average Number of Days to	36	30	33	34	33
Complete Board					
Investigations					

^{*}This statistic is measured by the average number of days to complete the Enforcement process for cases investigated and transmitted to the AG's Office for formal discipline within the reference period. The DCA Performance Measure to complete Formal Discipline is 540 days.

^{**}This statistic is measured from the date the Board refers the matter to the Attorney General's to the date the case is complete.

DISCIPLINE & PROBATION

As of August 2022, there are six petitioners that are waiting to be scheduled.

PETITIONS	FY 2019/2020	FY 2020/2021	FY 2021/2022	FY 2022/2023
Received	39	32	24	4
Ineligible/withdrawn	2	8	2	0
Petitions For	4	3	2	0
Reinstatement			_	





1625 North Market Blvd., Suite S-200 Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 574-7830, (916) 574-8625 Fax www.bbs.ca.gov

To: Board Members **Date:** August 6, 2022

From: Steve Sodergren Telephone: (916) 574-7904

Executive Officer

Subject: Education & Outreach - August 2022

Social Media Engagement

The Board has recognized an increase in social media engagement during the last quarter. Most notably the Board's Facebook followers increased from 20,628 in February 2022 to 22,332 as of June 2022. Board staff is very happy with this increase because social media increasingly plays an integral role in getting accurate and timely information to our licensees and the public.

The Boards twitter followers increased from 1,689 as of the last report to 1,880 as of June. Also the Board's twitter account continues to have an increase in profile visits. It had 5,928 in April 2022 and 14,000 in June.

The Board's Facebook page visits increased by nearly 29% since the last report. However, the Board's Instagram reach was down by 5.9%, but the Board's Instagram page visits did increase slightly (+0.2%).

As noted in the last report, Board staff believes most of these trends can be explained by the cyclical nature of the volume of workload and reduction in processing times. Board staff continue to monitor and evaluate its use of Instagram and consider how to better use the platform or whether to continue using this platform.

Consumer Information Center

Month	Calls Offered	Calls Handled	Avg Wait	Max Wait
April	1,082	1,067	1:04	9:11
May	1,082	1,060	0:33	9:29
June	1,280	1,231	1:01	8:21

Consumer Information Center

In the last report Board staff noted a decline in calls routed to the CIC. Board staff noted that this decline is likely permanent and believes this is largely a positive development

based on shortened licensing evaluation timeframes and new phone system changes. The data for this report continues to show that what has been reported as a trend is now the normal call volume.

Pathway to Licensure Videos

Board staff have completed the draft scripts for the following videos:

- Seven Steps to Licensure: This video describes the basic steps that all applicants will have to complete to become licensed as a LMFT, LCSW or LPCC
- LMFT Degree Requirements: This video describes in more detail the LMFT education requirements.
- LCSW Degree Requirement: This video describes in more detail the LMFT education requirements.

DCA's Office of Public Affairs is currently working on designing and voicing these videos Currently, there are twelve proposed videos to be developed.

Events Attended

DATE	EVENT
April 6, 2022	University Massachusetts
April 16, 2022	CA Society of Clinical Social Work
April 19, 2022	University of Berkeley Licensure Presentation
April 27, 2022	NASW-CA An Hour With the BBS
April 28, 2022	ASWB Education Meeting
May 10, 2022	CA Society of Clinical Social Work (CSUSM, SDSU)
May 12, 2022	MFT Consortium Inland Empire
May 13, 2022	MFT Consortium Sacramento
May 18, 2022	MFT Consortium Orange County
May 18, 2022	CAMFT Business Meeting
June 3, 2022	MFT Central Coast Consortium
June 10, 2022	MFT Consortium Greater LA
June 10, 2022	MFT Consortium Central Valley





1625 North Market Blvd., Suite S-200 Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 574-7830, (916) 574-8625 Fax www.bbs.ca.gov

To: Board Members Date: August 4, 2022

From: Steve Sodergren Telephone: (916) 574-7847

Executive Officer

Subject: Organizational Development

BREEZE SYSTEM UPDATES

During the last quarter, staff worked with the Office of Information Services (OIS) to complete approximately 17 updates to the Breeze system which included changes to automated letters. Currently, there are 39 updates waiting to be developed and implemented. Additionally, staff has completed testing and passed a system change that creates a new transaction and modifier that will allow supervisors to complete the required Supervisor Self-Assessment form online. This will be available on Breeze soon.

LISTENING SESSIONS

In June Board staff distributed a telehealth listening session online survey. The intent of this survey was to collect information on stakeholders' thoughts related to telehealth and to identify areas of concern. This survey also allowed stakeholders to express interest in presenting during the telehealth listening session planned for September 2022.

Of the 1,211 survey responses, 30 responders noted that they would be willing to present during the session. After an initial review of the survey responses, staff noted that there was a broad range of interest concerning Telehealth generally. However, the majority of the offers to present did not address specific concerns or problems, but rather offered to share telehealth benefits generally as well as offer suggestions for best practices.

Based on this, staff believes some of these presenters may be able to assist and provide their expertise in two specific topic areas that the Committee will be focusing on: the development of a best practice document, and an examination of existing and emerging types of telehealth platforms. Staff will be reaching out to those who offered to present in order to determine their specific areas of expertise, and to determine how best to utilize their knowledge to assist the Committee in its discussions of these topic areas.

PROCESS IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Staff has worked with DCA's Organizational Improvement Office (OIO) to complete the As-Is mapping of forty of the board's workflow processes. OIO and Board staff will begin working on completing the Could-Be maps. The Could-Be maps will determine will process improvements can be made.

DIGITIZING RECORDS

The DCA's Records Imaging Service Unit is currently performing a test run to ensure the scanning and indexing process will work for the Board. Once the process has been approved, Board staff will begin to submit files to the Records Imaging Service Unit on a continually basis. Additionally, Board management has discussing ways to perform some of the scanning duties in house.