MESSAGE FROM THE BOARD CHAIR

Happy New Year from the Board of Behavioral Sciences! Last year was a busy one and much was accomplished. Among the Board’s accomplishments were the establishment of the Exempt Setting Committee, and the conclusion of the Supervision Subcommittee’s work on updating and enhancing supervision requirements for licensure, which resulted in pending legislation. Moving forward, and as an ongoing endeavor of the Board, is the issue of license portability. In the fall of 2017, the License Portability Committee was established and is currently chaired by Dr. Leah Brew.

In a collaborative effort with the California Medical Board and the California Board of Psychology, the Professional Therapy Never Includes Sex brochure is being updated. The revised brochure will reflect a new title and continues to convey the message that sexual behavior between a licensee and a client is never appropriate. I would like to thank Dr. Brew and Jonathan Maddox for their contributions on this project. We anticipate that the new brochure will be available in the spring of 2018.

Late fall of 2017 saw the adoption of the Board’s new three-year Strategic Plan. Included in this 2018–2021 Strategic Plan will be an emphasis on license portability, increased access through technology and Board accountability. As always, the Board strives to create an environment that is efficient, streamlined, and technologically friendly. As in the previous strategic plan, our emphasis will continue to be on licensing, examination, enforcement, legislation, and community outreach.

In addition, the Board has a new online face. The latest version of our website is now live. We welcome any feedback you may have to continually make it more user-friendly and efficient. The revised website was a large undertaking and could not have been accomplished without the assistance of the Department of Consumer Affairs Internet team and Board staff.
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LAW CHANGES FOR 2018

Several changes to laws went into effect January 1, 2018, that will affect Board of Behavioral Sciences (Board/BBS) licensees and registrants. It is important to understand these changes to ensure your compliance with the laws. Below is a summary of the new law changes. Please take a moment to review them. You may view the entire text of the code in the Board’s 2018 Statutes and Regulations law book, which is available on the Board’s website.

Title Change for Marriage and Family Therapist Interns and Professional Clinical Counselor Interns
Effective January 1, 2018, the title for marriage and family therapist and professional clinical counselor registrants changed from “intern” to “associate.” Permissible titles are as follows:

• Associate Marriage and Family Therapist
• Registered Associate Marriage and Family Therapist
• Associate Professional Clinical Counselor
• Registered Associate Professional Clinical Counselor

This title change is the result of SB 1478, which became effective in 2017. However, this provision had a one-year delayed implementation date, which is why it becomes effective in 2018. For more information, see the Board’s website.

Code sections affected: Business and Professions Code §§ 4980.09 and 4999.12.5.

BOARD-SPONSORED LEGISLATION

SB 800 (Senate Business, Professions, & Economic Development Committee): Board Omnibus Bill
This bill makes several technical and noncontroversial amendments to current licensing law, including but not limited to, the changes listed below. The complete text of the bill can be found at: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/

1. Name Changes: Requires a licensee or registrant to notify the Board of a name change within 30 days of the issuance of a new government-issued photo ID. A copy of the new photo ID and the legal document authorizing the name change must be provided, and the licensee or registrant must certify under the penalty of perjury that the information they provided is correct.

2. Requirements for Out-of-State Licensees: Amendments were made to the law to clarify and make consistent the following:
   » That to apply as an LMFT, LCSW, or LPCC out-of-state licensee, that license must be valid and in good standing.
   » For an out-of-state LCSW or LPCC licensee to qualify for the waiver of the clinical exam, the applicant must have already passed that exam and have a license or registration that is active and in good standing.

OTHER BILLS AFFECTING BOARD LICENSEES AND BOARD OPERATIONS

AB 191: Signatory for Involuntary Commitments
This bill adds licensed marriage and family therapists and licensed professional clinical counselors to the list of professionals who are authorized to be the secondary signatory to extend involuntary commitments, under certain circumstances.


AB 508: Citation and Fine—Student Loan Default
This bill removes a healing arts board’s ability to issue a citation and fine and its ability to deny an application for a license or renewal of a license due to the licensee or applicant being in default on a U.S. Department of Health and Human Services education loan.

Code section affected: Business and Professions Code § 685 was repealed.

AB 1188: Renewal Fees/Loan Repayment Grant Program
This bill increases the fee that renewing licensees pay into the Mental Health Practitioner Education Fund, which funds a competitive loan repayment grant program to reimburse educational loans for licensed mental health service providers who provide direct patient care in a publicly funded facility or a mental health professional shortage area.

Effective July 1, 2018, this bill increases the fee that LMFTs and LCSWs pay upon license renewal to fund the program, from $10 to $20.
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The bill also requires LPCCs, who currently do not pay a fee into the Mental Health Practitioner Education Fund, to pay a $20 fee into the fund at each renewal. LPCCs and registered associate professional clinical counselors will now also be able to apply for the loan repayment grant if they work in a qualifying area.


**SB 374: Coverage of Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders**

This bill requires that large group health insurance policies and individual and small group health insurance policies, which are regulated by the Department of Insurance, must provide all covered mental health and substance use disorder benefits in compliance with federal law. This is parallel to a current requirement already in place for large, individual, or small group health care service plans regulated by the Department of Managed Health Care.

*Code section added: Insurance Code § 10144.4.*

---

**MESSAGE FROM THE BOARD CHAIR CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1**

A big welcome to our newest Board member Jonathan Maddox. Mr. Maddox was appointed by Governor Brown in October 2017. Mr. Maddox is a licensed marriage and family therapist in private practice since 2004, and training and internship coordinator at San Francisco County Behavioral Health Services since 2014, where he has served in several positions since 2006, including program director and clinical supervisor.

In closing, and above all, the Board of Behavioral Sciences is dedicated to _consumer protection, accountability, transparency, customer service, integrity, quality, and respect._ The Board continues to encourage the public to share and participate in this joint venture in maintaining the _highest quality of mental health care for all Californians._

*Deborah N. Brown, MPA*

Chair, Board of Behavioral Sciences

---

**ONLINE SERVICES**

Did you know that if you have a BreEZe account you can do any of the following activities online?

- **RENEW** your license/registration
- **SUBMIT** a change of address
- **REQUEST** a replacement or duplicate certificate

Don’t have a BreEZe account? Create one: Select the BreEZe icon on the Board’s website and follow the steps for a new registration.
TELEHEALTH PRACTICE

The delivery of mental health services has evolved through the years. Twenty to 30 years ago, many practitioners were in private practice settings. Today, the delivery of mental health services has expanded from primarily a private practice setting to community mental health settings and beyond into electronic settings. With the advent of the Internet, cellphones, and social media, technology has emerged as a method to deliver mental health services. An increasing number of clients seem to favor the use of technology to receive their services. The challenge becomes, how does a practitioner continue to provide services in an ethical and lawful manner within these technological settings?

Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 2290.5 (a)(2) defines the distant site as the site where the practitioner is located while providing telehealth services. BPC section 2290.5 (a)(4) defines the originating site as the site where the client receiving telehealth services is located.

A few years ago, the Board proposed regulations to provide some foundational guidance to its licensees regarding the practice of telehealth. The regulations specify the standards for providing telehealth services. A licensee or registrant must follow these standards to ensure compliance with California law. The regulations were adopted on July 1, 2016, and became California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1815.5.

§ 1815.5. STANDARDS OF PRACTICE FOR TELEHEALTH

(a) All persons engaging in the practice of marriage and family therapy, educational psychology, clinical social work, or professional clinical counseling via telehealth, as defined in Section 2290.5 of the Code, with a client who is physically located in this State, must have a valid and current license or registration issued by the Board.

(b) All psychotherapy services offered by Board licensees and registrants via telehealth fall within the jurisdiction of the Board just as traditional face-to-face services do. Therefore, all psychotherapy services offered via telehealth are subject to the Board’s statutes and regulations.

(c) Upon initiation of telehealth services, a licensee or registrant shall do the following:

(1) Obtain informed consent from the client consistent with Section 2290.5 of the Code.

(2) Inform the client of the potential risks and limitations of receiving treatment via telehealth.

(3) Provide the client with his or her license or registration number and the type of license or registration.

(4) Document reasonable efforts made to ascertain the contact information of relevant resources, including emergency services, in the patient’s geographic area.

(d) Each time a licensee or registrant provides services via telehealth, he or she shall do the following:

(1) Verbally obtain from the client and document the client’s full name and address of present location, at the beginning of each telehealth session.

(2) Assess whether the client is appropriate for telehealth, including, but not limited to, consideration of the client’s psychosocial situation.

(3) Utilize industry best practices for telehealth to ensure both client confidentiality and the security of the communication medium.

(e) A licensee or registrant of this state may provide telehealth services to clients located in another jurisdiction only if the California licensee or registrant meets the requirements to lawfully provide services in that jurisdiction, and delivery of services via telehealth is allowed by that jurisdiction.

(f) Failure to comply with these provisions shall be considered unprofessional conduct.

The most frequent question that arises concerning telehealth is, “What do I do if my client is temporarily out of state and needs services?” The very nature of your work is to assist your client, so the question is valid. What can a practitioner do to avoid noncompliance with the law?

Each state has its own laws regarding telehealth. As the California regulations point out, it is important to know the laws of the state where the client is located when you are providing telehealth services. Some states specify a temporary time period in which telehealth services may be provided without licensure in that state. Other states require full licensure to deliver all mental health services. Some time spent researching or contacting the state directly regarding their laws will help the practitioner avoid any potential violation of law. Remember, all states consider practicing without a license unlicensed activity.
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TELEHEALTH PRACTICE CONTINUED FROM PAGE 4

Many questions relate to the “five-minute call” with the client—does that violate California law? Each situation is unique and specific to that client. It is impractical for the law to regulate each and every situation. Therefore, the practitioner must rely on his/her professional judgement and balance the decision considering the ethics and laws governing the practitioner’s profession.

Simply put, if you decide to engage in telehealth services either in-state or out-of-state, the Board strongly encourages you to become familiar with the applicable laws. Doing so will help you avoid any potential violation of law.

DEALING WITH FAMILIES OF DIVORCE

WHAT TO AVOID AND HOW TO PROTECT YOURSELF, PART II

By Terri L. Asanovich, LMFT and Consultant

In the Board’s fall 2017 newsletter, I discussed guidelines that could protect a therapist when working with families of divorce. In this article, I will present a real-life example of a therapist who worked with a family of divorce and acted outside the parameters of their role as a therapist. In this example, the therapist demonstrated unprofessional conduct, incompetence, and intentionally or recklessly caused physical and emotional harm to clients. While this is based upon a complaint the Board received, the information has been changed to protect the anonymity of all involved.

A therapist worked with a married couple to help them improve communication. The couple’s therapy lasted for a few sessions, but eventually the couple’s treatment ended and the couple divorced. Sometime after the therapy had been terminated, the mother allegedly observed behavior problems, including sexualized behaviors, from their 5-year-old son after he returned from visits with his father and new stepmother. The mother contacted the therapist and began therapy sessions, along with her new husband, regarding these issues. The therapist also met with the child.

During that time, the estranged couple was going through a custody battle. The therapist’s actions concerning these therapy sessions propelled the son’s mother to submit a complaint with the Board. The complaint alleged:

- The therapist insisted that the child had seen pornography and had been exposed to inappropriate displays of sexual behavior between the father and his new stepmother, but never filed a report with the local Child Protective Services agency (CPS).

- The therapist believed the child had acted out with his stepsister, and reached out to the child’s stepmother without the consent of the biological parents to notify the stepmother that her daughter may have been molested.

- The therapist told the son’s mother that a pedophile would not prey on her child because the child was not blonde or blue-eyed.

Let’s look at what happened here.

The therapist did not obtain written releases from either parent before she communicated with the stepmother. She violated Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulations by divulging confidential information without written consent.

Not reporting child abuse given “reasonable suspicion” is gross negligence. As a mandated reporter, you must contact CPS or the police (the police handle a report when it is out-of-home abuse). When contacting CPS, you can consult with a social worker, who can determine if a duplicate report may already have been made. The social worker will decide what needs to be done and you, as a licensed mandated reporter, must follow it. The idea is that the social worker will decide if a report needs to be filed. While you are on the phone with CPS, log in the date on a notepad, take down the name of whom you spoke with, the time the call started and ended, and what the social worker instructed you to do, especially if they had advised you that a report was not necessary. This will help protect you in case any problems arise later.
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In the role as a therapist, you are not the investigator of a child abuse case or even a divorce case that does not include a child abuse allegation. That job is the purview of CPS and the court’s evaluator, if there is one. It is beyond the scope of your role with the family. Due to the therapist speaking with other extended family members and getting them involved, confidentiality was breached. Given the apparent dysfunction of this family, the lines were blurred as to who was the therapist’s patient. Originally, her clients were the biological parents and then her client was the biological parents’ child. However, she later took on the mother and her new husband, and also reached out to the stepfather’s ex-wife. This is a severe boundary violation. These actions demonstrate unprofessional conduct, incompetence, and caused emotional harm to a client.

Complex divorce cases must be handled using a very cautious and methodical approach. You must keep your boundaries clear with who is and who is not your patient. Also, remember what your role is, therapist versus evaluator; you cannot be both at the same time. If you do, it is gross negligence and incompetence and below the standard of care of a professional licensed by the Board.

Regarding the statement the therapist made where it was alleged that she told the mother and stepfather that only “blonde-haired, blue-eyed children” are the targets of pedophiles, this is gross negligence and incompetence. When dealing with suspicions of child abuse, a therapist must be very aware how powerful their words are to clients and to the seriousness of such a claim. When there is a suspicion, ensure that you are basing this on your best clinical knowledge and the facts presented. Also, only discuss this with the appropriate individuals; your clients, the client’s guardians who have proper releases, and CPS.

I hope this example helps you to rethink your approach with high-conflict families of divorce. It is important to tread very carefully in your interactions with a case involving these dynamics because they are often very volatile, even for seasoned professionals.

Should you be interested in developing your clinical skills in forensic divorce and child custody matters, there are workshops available held by some of the larger Bar associations in conjunction with mental health professionals. Good resources can be found in specialty workshops offered by the American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy (AAMFT), as well as online materials on the California Association of Marriage and Family Therapists (CAMFT) and AAMFT websites, in addition to the following organizations:

- Association of Family and Conciliation Courts (AFCC)—California Chapter: [www.afcc-ca.org](http://www.afcc-ca.org)
- AFCC National Organization: [www.afccnet.org](http://www.afccnet.org)

Terri Asanovich, LMFT, is in private practice in Sherman Oaks. She serves as a consultant to therapists and attorneys on divorce issues.

**FOLLOW BBS ON FACEBOOK AND TWITTER**

These two social media venues will provide licensees, registrants, applicants, and consumers increased access to BBS activities and updates. Join the nearly 2,100 followers of BBS and become one of the first to know what’s new at BBS. Simply “like” us on Facebook and follow BBS on Twitter to stay current on all BBS activities.
CONTINUING EDUCATION AUDITS

A licensee who fails a continuing education (CE) audit is subject to a citation and fine. The following chart reflects the annual results of the Board’s CE audits for 2017.

**2017 CE AUDIT RESULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LICENSE</th>
<th>NO. OF AUDITS</th>
<th>PASS</th>
<th>FAIL</th>
<th>% PASS</th>
<th>% FAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCSW</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEP</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMFT</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPCC</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>1,013</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LICENSING TOTALS as of September 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>License Type</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMFT</td>
<td>18,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASW</td>
<td>15,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APCC</td>
<td>3,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMFT</td>
<td>42,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCSW</td>
<td>26,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEP</td>
<td>2,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPCC</td>
<td>1,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Population</strong></td>
<td><strong>111,183</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVOID LOSING YOUR EXPERIENCE HOURS: RESPOND QUICKLY TO DEFICIENCY NOTICES AND TEST AT LEAST ONCE PER YEAR

Completing your supervised experience hours and submitting your Application for Licensure and Examination is an amazing accomplishment. Once your Application for Licensure and Examination has been delivered to the Board, it is important to remember that until you have passed both licensure exams and submitted your initial license application, your supervised experience hours could be in jeopardy.

Here’s why: The Board accepts supervised experience hours if they were earned six or fewer years from the date of application. If your file is abandoned, you will need to re-apply for licensure and examination, and your hours must re-qualify. Files can be abandoned for the following reasons:

- The applicant does not submit information required to correct the deficiencies specified in a deficiency letter within one year from the date of the deficiency letter.
- An applicant for licensure fails to sit for an exam within one year of being notified of his/her eligibility to take an exam.
- An applicant for licensure does not retake an exam within one year of being notified of failing the exam.
- The applicant fails to pay the initial license fee within one year after notification by the Board of successful completion of examination requirements.

Remember, if you have submitted an application for licensure, it is critically important that you mark your calendar in order to ensure that you test at least once per year. It is also important to submit a complete application and respond to deficiency notices as soon as you can. These important actions will help you avoid having your file become abandoned and losing those hard-earned experience hours.
BOARD LAUNCHES REDESIGNED WEBSITE

On January 2, 2018, the Board launched its redesigned website along with a new logo. The Board aims to provide stakeholders with a streamlined yet thorough online presentation of the Board’s programs, as well as connect them with the growing number of online resources and transactions available through BreEZe. Currently, BreEZe provides users the ability to file a complaint, renew a license/registration, change an address of record, and request replacement copies of a license/certificate. These transactions now process in real time, allowing users to avoid manual processing times of up to six weeks. Stakeholders may also provide feedback to the Board about the redesigned website by visiting www.bbs.ca.gov, clicking the “Contact Us” link in the upper right section, then selecting “Message the Board,” and choosing the “Website and General Information” option, which will allow the user to complete and submit an electronic data form to the Board. We look forward to hearing from you.

THE BOARD HAS A NEW LOGO

The Board collaborated with DCA’s Office of Publications, Design & Editing to freshen up its logo. We hope you like the new look!

NOTICE TO BBS LICENSEE: UPCOMING FEE INCREASE

All licensees with an expiration date on or after July 1, 2018, will experience a renewal fee increase as a result of the passage of SB 1188 (Chapter 557, Statutes of 2017). The increased revenue will provide additional funds to the Mental Health Practitioner Education Fund.

Licensees will be affected as follows:

**LMFTs:** LMFTs currently pay $10 into the Mental Health Practitioner Education Fund at each renewal. Beginning July 1, 2018, that amount will increase to $20. Therefore, the total biennial renewal fee will increase from $140 to $150.

**LCSWs:** LCSWs currently pay $10 into the Mental Health Practitioner Education Fund at each renewal. Beginning July 1, 2018, that amount will increase to $20. Therefore, the total biennial renewal fee will increase from $110 to $120.

**LPCCs:** LPCCs do not pay into the Mental Health Practitioner Education Fund currently. Beginning July 1, 2018, a $20 fee will be charged. Therefore, the total biennial renewal fee will increase from $175 to $195.

The Mental Health Practitioner Education Fund supports the Licensed Mental Health Services Provider Education Program, which provides competitive educational loan repayment grants that licensed mental health providers may apply for if they provide direct patient care in a publicly funded mental health facility or mental health professional shortage area. Currently, LMFT and LCSW licensees, and marriage and family therapist and clinical social work registrants may apply for the loan repayment grant if they are eligible. Now that LPCCs will be paying into the fund, qualifying LPCCs and associate professional clinical counselor registrants may also apply effective July 1, 2018.
ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

Citation and Fine—An administrative action used for minor violations. Citations and fines are public information but are not considered a disciplinary action.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>License Number</th>
<th>Violation Description</th>
<th>Fine Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Janet Michele Distefan</td>
<td>LMFT 43062</td>
<td>Failure to Report Conviction</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Coy Dugger</td>
<td>LMFT 51218</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Ann Paster</td>
<td>LMFT 54045</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristen Baird-Goldman</td>
<td>LMFT 90662</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Ghent Carpenter</td>
<td>LMFT 89905</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marilee Alice Valine Foley</td>
<td>LMFT 90482</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Wendy Marks</td>
<td>LMFT 90548</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olga A. Aguilar</td>
<td>LMFT 90845</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Lauren Rogge</td>
<td>LMFT 92696</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole Lane Clark</td>
<td>ASW 36707</td>
<td>Failure to Report Conviction</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferey William Kirishian</td>
<td>LEP 2037</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>License</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ayako Mori</td>
<td>LCSW 68173</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristine Galvan</td>
<td>LCSW 24404</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Warren Holley</td>
<td>LCSW 23275</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Alexander Seibel</td>
<td>LCSW 21454</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Lamphier Ruddell</td>
<td>LCSW 18408</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric L. Dabrowski</td>
<td>LCSW 9376</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Ann Groeninger</td>
<td>LCSW 17763</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Maron</td>
<td>LMFT 13123</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Sahly</td>
<td>LMFT 14265</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Travis</td>
<td>LMFT 14361</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac Lee Morante</td>
<td>LMFT 20952</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valerie Cross</td>
<td>LMFT 25409</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>License/Registration</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Fine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Merris</td>
<td>LMFT 33250</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clement Osakue</td>
<td>LMFT 36582</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maggie Locke</td>
<td>LMFT 38859</td>
<td>Failed Continuing Education Audit</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Schwarz</td>
<td>Unlicensed</td>
<td>Unlicensed Practice</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam James Castro</td>
<td>ASW 68505</td>
<td>Failure to Cooperate in Board Investigation</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristina M. Taroni</td>
<td>AMFT 75085</td>
<td>Failure to Report Conviction</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXPLANATION OF DISCIPLINARY TERMS AND ACTIONS

Accusation—Formal statement of charges against the registrant/licensee.

Statement of Issues—Formal statement of reasons why an application for registration/license should be denied.

Effective Date—The date the disciplinary decision goes into effect.

Revoked—The registration/license is canceled, voided, rescinded. The right to practice is terminated.

Revoked, Stayed, Probation—“Stayed” means the revocation is postponed. Professional practice may continue so long as the registrant/licensee complies with specific probationary terms and conditions. Violation of probation may result in the revocation that was postponed.

Suspension—The registrant/licensee is prohibited from practicing for a specific period of time.

License Surrender—To resolve a disciplinary action, the registrant/licensee has given up his or her registration/license—subject to acceptance by the Board of Behavioral Sciences (Board). The right to practice is terminated.

Citation and Fine—An administrative action used for minor violations. Citations and fines are public information but are not considered to be disciplinary actions.

FORMAL DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

Karin Karim Awad
AMFT 72499
Yorba Linda, CA
UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of seven (7) years with terms and conditions. Effective: 10/13/2017

James Christopher Baca
ASW 33804
Los Angeles, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration surrendered. Effective: 12/6/2017

James Benjamin Bacon
AMFT 102975
Northridge, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions. Effective: 11/1/2017

Jamila Joelle Banks
AMFT 69839
Los Angeles, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and probation continued under terms and conditions ordered under Case No. IM-2012-1387. Effective: 1/11/2018

Ava Behzoumi
AMFT 75646
Tarzana, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions. Effective: 10/13/2017

Ebony Michelle Bohannon
ASW 80479
Oakland, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration surrendered. Effective: 12/28/2017

Linsey L. Caruso
ASW 33045
Whittier, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked. Effective: 11/16/2017

Donelle Marie Conley
ASW 79795
Camarillo, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration surrendered. Effective: 12/8/2017

Lasaro Coreas
ASW 77768
Los Angeles, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration surrendered. Effective: 12/28/2017

Jeffrey James Craig
AMFT 74290
Santa Ana, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked. Effective: 11/16/2017
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QUANG TRAN DANG
LCSW 29370
Santa Ana, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: License revoked.
Effective: 12/28/2017

WYATT DARLING
LMFT 54058
Los Angeles, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of one (1) year with terms and conditions.
Effective: 1/11/2018

PATRICIA ELEANOR DUNN
LMFT 17291
Los Angeles, CA
UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 11/16/2017

DANIEL S. EEHN
LMFT 25449
Carlsbad, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: License surrendered.
Effective: 11/16/2017

DANIELLE MARIE EVERLY
AMFT 102573
Anaheim, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 10/13/2017

JACQUELINE NICOLE GALL
AMFT 102973
Salinas, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 11/1/2017

AGUSTIN ULYSSES GARCIA
AMFT 69668
Anaheim, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of four (4) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 11/1/2017

ANITBA BLO KEY GRAHAM
ASW 67339
Lancaster, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 12/28/2017

ESPERANZA SAUCEDO HERNANDEZ
AMFT 97426
Modesto, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 11/1/2017

MARK LAWRENCE HURRELL
LMFT 30389
Torrance, CA
UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 10/13/2017

JAMIE LUCIANA JUAREZ
LMFT 44290
Glendora, CA
UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 10/13/2017
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Mary Frances Kemp
LMFT 42667
Westlake Village, CA
UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT
Action: License surrendered.
Effective: 10/13/2017

Behjat Khani
ASW 32022
Calabasas, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration surrendered.
Effective: 10/13/2017

Paul Dwayne Knaus
ASW 34751
Vallejo, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked.
Effective: 12/28/2017

Kelly Marie Leon
LMFT 53047
Burbank, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 1/11/2018

Donald Charles Lewis
ASW 80267
Moreno Valley, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 11/1/2017

Ani Anna Marjanian
ASW 81296
Los Angeles, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 1/11/2018

Dean Thomas Mott
LMFT 29170
Chico, CA
UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 10/13/2017

Jacob Joshua Munzaa
ASW 79886
Murrieta, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 10/13/2017

Gail J. O’Connell
AMFT 102974
Valley Village, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 11/1/2017

Cassandra Ruth Pacheco
LCSW 80478
Morro Bay, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 11/16/2017

Olga Nikolaevna Palmer
LMFT 47624
Danville, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of four (4) years with terms and conditions.
Effective: 1/11/2018

Christian Anthony Perez
LMFT 45697
Boulder Creek, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: License surrendered.
Effective: 12/6/2017

Sabry E. Ramirez
AMFT 89648
San Jose, CA
SUBSTANCE ABUSE & DRUG RELATED OFFENSES
Action: Registration revoked.
Effective: 1/11/2018

Warren Donald Rogers
ASW 61716
La Habra Heights, CA
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and probation continued under terms and conditions ordered under Case No. AP-2013-1454.
Effective: 11/1/2017

Michael John Rubino, III
LMFT 33900
Pleasant Hill, CA
INCOMPETENCE/NEGLIGENCE
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on an additional year of probation to make a total period of six (6) years on probation with terms and conditions.
Effective: 1/11/2018

Lonna Sue Sanders
LCSW 25097
Chico, CA
UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT
Action: License surrendered.
Effective: 12/28/2017
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Stephen Edward Schaefer  
LCSW 10551  
Fresno, CA  
INCOMPETENCE/NEGLIGENCE  
Action: License surrendered.  
Effective: 12/6/2017

Ling Tang  
AMFT 74968  
West Covina, CA  
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS  
Action: Registration surrendered.  
Effective: 10/13/2017

Tiziana M. Tarquinio  
LMFT 43706  
San Diego, CA  
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS  
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and additional probation terms and conditions added to the probation terms and conditions originally ordered under Case No. 2002016001875.  
Effective: 12/28/2017

Corey James Thompson  
APCC 4530  
Riverside, CA  
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS  
Action: Registration revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions.  
Effective: 11/1/2017

Sydney Kiko Tomita  
AMFT 88400 and APCC 2379  
La Jolla, CA  
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS  
Action: Registrations surrendered.  
Effective: 10/13/2017

Laura Julie Allyn Tomlinson  
LCSW 28337  
Long Beach, CA  
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS  
Action: License revoked, revocation stayed, and placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with terms and conditions.  
Effective: 1/1/2018

Richard Gene Walsh  
LMFT 18120  
Encinitas, CA  
SEXUAL MISCONDUCT  
Action: License revoked.  
Effective: 11/16/2017

Andrew Warren  
ASW 79887  
Santee, CA  
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS  
Action: Registration to be issued, then revoked, revocation will be stayed, and placed on probation for a period of four (4) years with terms and conditions.  
Effective: 10/13/2017

Maurice Jamaul Williams  
ASW 34839  
Culver City, CA  
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS  
Action: Registration to be issued, then revoked, revocation will be stayed, and placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with terms and conditions.  
Effective: 12/28/2017

Kang Xiong  
AMFT 82922  
Fresno, CA  
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS  
Action: Registration revoked.  
Effective: 10/13/2017

Scott Richard Yettman  
LMFT 38255  
Gilroy, CA  
CRIMINAL CHARGES/CONVICTIONS  
Action: Licensed revoked.  
Effective: 1/11/2018
UPCOMING MEETING DATES

License Portability
February 23, 2018 ...................... Sacramento

Exempt Setting Committee
February 23, 2018 ...................... Sacramento

Board Meetings
February 21–22, 2018 ................. Sacramento
May 9–11, 2018 ....................... Universal City
September 12–14, 2018 ............... Sacramento
November 28–30, 2018 .............. To be determined

Policy and Advocacy Committee
April 12, 2018 ......................... Sacramento
August 24, 2018 ....................... Sacramento
October 19, 2018 ...................... Sacramento